PREA Facility Audit Report: Final

Name of Facility: Barnes County Correctional Facility

Facility Type: Prison / Jail

Date Interim Report Submitted: NA

Date Final Report Submitted: 10/10/2022

| Auditor Certification | | |
|---|--|---|
| The contents of this report are accurate to the best of my knowledge. | | |
| No conflict of interest exists with respect to my ability to conduct an audit of the agency under review. | | V |
| I have not included in the final report any personally identifiable information (PII) about any inmate/resident/detainee or staff member, except where the names of administrative personnel are specifically requested in the report template. | | V |
| Auditor Full Name as Signed: Darnel Carlson Date of Signature: 10/10/2022 | | |

| AUDITOR INFORMATION | |
|------------------------------|-----------------------|
| Auditor name: | Carlson, Darnel |
| Email: | dmcarlson16@gmail.com |
| Start Date of On-Site Audit: | 03/30/2022 |
| End Date of On-Site Audit: | 03/31/2022 |

| FACILITY INFORMATION | |
|----------------------------|--|
| Facility name: | Barnes County Correctional Facility |
| Facility physical address: | 2371 7th Street Southeast, Valley City, North Dakota - 58072 |
| Facility mailing address: | |

| Primary Contact | |
|-------------------|---------------------------|
| Name: | Anna Berntson |
| Email Address: | aberntson@barnescounty.us |
| Telephone Number: | 701-845-8532 |

| Warden/Jail Administrator/Sheriff/Director | |
|--|----------------|
| Name: | Jeremy Wolff |
| Email Address: | 2371 7th St SE |
| Telephone Number: | 701-845-8532 |

| Facility PREA Compliance Manager | | |
|----------------------------------|-------------------|--|
| e: | Name: | |
| s: | Email Address: | |
| er: | Telephone Number: | |

| Facility Health Service Administrator On-site | |
|---|--------------------------|
| Name: | Amber Schmidt |
| Email Address: | aschmidt@barnescounty.us |
| Telephone Number: | 701-845-8518 |

| Facility Characteristics | | |
|---|------------------------|--|
| Designed facility capacity: | 46 | |
| Current population of facility: | 18 | |
| Average daily population for the past 12 months: | 10 | |
| Has the facility been over capacity at any point in the past 12 months? | No | |
| Which population(s) does the facility hold? | Both females and males | |
| Age range of population: | 18 and above | |
| Facility security levels/inmate custody levels: | Min - Max | |
| Does the facility hold youthful inmates? | No | |
| Number of staff currently employed at the facility who may have contact with inmates: | 16 | |
| Number of individual contractors who have contact with inmates, currently authorized to enter the facility: | 1 | |
| Number of volunteers who have contact with inmates, currently authorized to enter the facility: | 6 | |

| AGENCY INFORMATION | |
|---|--|
| Name of agency: | Barnes County Sheriff's Office |
| Governing authority or parent agency (if applicable): | |
| Physical Address: | 575 10th Street Southwest, Valley City, North Dakota - 58072 |
| Mailing Address: | |
| Telephone number: | |

| Agency Chief Executive Officer Information: | | | |
|--|-------------------|--|---------------------------|
| Name: | | | |
| | Email Address: | | |
| | Telephone Number: | | |
| | | | |
| Agency-Wide PREA Coordin | ator Information | | |
| Name: | Anna Berntson | Email Address: | aberntson@barnescounty.us |
| | | | |
| SUMMARY OF AUDIT FINDI | NGS | | |
| The OAS automatically populates the number and list of Standards exceeded, the number of Standards met, and the number and list of Standards not met. | | | |
| Auditor Note: In general, no standards should be found to be "Not Applicable" or "NA." A compliance determination must be made for each standard. In rare instances where an auditor determines that a standard is not applicable, the auditor should select "Meets Standard" and include a comprehensive discussion as to why the standard is not applicable to the facility being audited. | | | |
| Number of standards exceeded: | | | |
| 1 | | 115.41 - Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness | |
| Number of standards met: | | | |
| 44 | | | |
| Number of standards not met: | | | |
| 0 | | | |

POST-AUDIT REPORTING INFORMATION **GENERAL AUDIT INFORMATION On-site Audit Dates** 2022-03-30 1. Start date of the onsite portion of the audit: 2022-03-31 2. End date of the onsite portion of the audit: Outreach 10. Did you attempt to communicate with community-based Yes organization(s) or victim advocates who provide services to this facility and/or who may have insight into relevant O No conditions in the facility? a. Identify the community-based organization(s) or victim Abused Person Outreach Center (APOC) advocates with whom you communicated: **AUDITED FACILITY INFORMATION** 14. Designated facility capacity: 46 15. Average daily population for the past 12 months: 10 16. Number of inmate/resident/detainee housing units: 6 Yes 17. Does the facility ever hold youthful inmates or youthful/juvenile detainees? O No O Not Applicable for the facility type audited (i.e., Community Confinement Facility or Juvenile Facility) Audited Facility Population Characteristics on Day One of the Onsite Portion of the **Audit** Inmates/Residents/Detainees Population Characteristics on Day One of the Onsite Portion of the Audit 13 36. Enter the total number of inmates/residents/detainees in the facility as of the first day of onsite portion of the audit: 38. Enter the total number of inmates/residents/detainees with a physical disability in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit: 39. Enter the total number of inmates/residents/detainees with 0 a cognitive or functional disability (including intellectual disability, psychiatric disability, or speech disability) in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit: 0 40. Enter the total number of inmates/residents/detainees who are Blind or have low vision (visually impaired) in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:

| 41. Enter the total number of inmates/residents/detainees who are Deaf or hard-of-hearing in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit: | 0 | |
|---|--|--|
| 42. Enter the total number of inmates/residents/detainees who are Limited English Proficient (LEP) in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit: | 0 | |
| 43. Enter the total number of inmates/residents/detainees who identify as lesbian, gay, or bisexual in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit: | 0 | |
| 44. Enter the total number of inmates/residents/detainees who identify as transgender or intersex in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit: | 0 | |
| 45. Enter the total number of inmates/residents/detainees who reported sexual abuse in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit: | 0 | |
| 46. Enter the total number of inmates/residents/detainees who disclosed prior sexual victimization during risk screening in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit: | 0 | |
| 47. Enter the total number of inmates/residents/detainees who were ever placed in segregated housing/isolation for risk of sexual victimization in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit: | 0 | |
| 48. Provide any additional comments regarding the population characteristics of inmates/residents/detainees in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit (e.g., groups not tracked, issues with identifying certain populations): | Ten of thirteen inmates were interviewed; this auditor observed all inmates out of their cells during the facility tour and the two days of the onsite audit. The PREA Coordinator, medical staff, and correctional staff did not disclose information about inmates in segregated housing for risk of sexual victimization. | |
| Staff, Volunteers, and Contractors Population Characteris | stics on Day One of the Onsite Portion of the Audit | |
| 49. Enter the total number of STAFF, including both full- and part-time staff, employed by the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit: | 12 | |
| 50. Enter the total number of VOLUNTEERS assigned to the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit who have contact with inmates/residents/detainees: | 6 | |
| 51. Enter the total number of CONTRACTORS assigned to the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit who have contact with inmates/residents/detainees: | 1 | |
| 52. Provide any additional comments regarding the population characteristics of staff, volunteers, and contractors who were in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit: | The facility is experiencing low staffing levels, so the Jail Administrator, Captain, and two Lieutenants are filling correctional officer shifts to maintain the minimum staffing requirement. The facility is actively recruiting applicants to fill open correctional officer positions. | |
| INTERVIEWS | | |
| Inmate/Resident/Detainee Interviews | | |
| Random Inmate/Resident/Detainee Interviews | | |

| 53. Enter the total number of RANDOM INMATES/RESIDENTS/DETAINEES who were interviewed: | 10 | |
|--|---|--|
| 54. Select which characteristics you considered when you selected RANDOM INMATE/RESIDENT/DETAINEE interviewees: (select all that apply) | ✓ Age ✓ Race ✓ Ethnicity (e.g., Hispanic, Non-Hispanic) ✓ Length of time in the facility ✓ Housing assignment ☐ Gender ☐ Other ☐ None | |
| 55. How did you ensure your sample of RANDOM INMATE/RESIDENT/DETAINEE interviewees was geographically diverse? | On the first day of the onsite audit, thirteen male inmates were in the facility. Selection of the ten random inmate interviews included at least one inmate from each housing unit, length of time in the facility, age, and race. | |
| 56. Were you able to conduct the minimum number of random inmate/resident/detainee interviews? | ⊙ Yes○ No | |
| 57. Provide any additional comments regarding selecting or interviewing random inmates/residents/detainees (e.g., any populations you oversampled, barriers to completing interviews, barriers to ensuring representation): | No text provided. | |
| Targeted Inmate/Resident/Detainee Interviews | | |
| 58. Enter the total number of TARGETED INMATES/RESIDENTS/DETAINEES who were interviewed: | 0 | |
| As stated in the PREA Auditor Handbook, the breakdown of targeted interviews is intended to guide auditors in interviewing the appropriate cross-section of inmates/residents/detainees who are the most vulnerable to sexual abuse and sexual harassment. When completing questions regarding targeted inmate/resident/detainee interviews below, remember that an interview with one inmate/resident/detainee may satisfy multiple targeted interview requirements. These questions are asking about the number of interviews conducted using the targeted inmate/resident/detainee protocols. For example, if an auditor interviews an inmate who has a physical disability, is being held in segregated housing due to risk of sexual victimization, and disclosed prior sexual victimization, that interview would be included in the totals for each of those questions. Therefore, in most cases, the sum of all the following responses to the targeted inmate/resident/detainee interview categories will exceed the total number of targeted inmates/residents/detainees who were interviewed. If a particular targeted population is not applicable in the audited facility, enter "0". | | |
| 60. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/detainees with a physical disability using the "Disabled and Limited English Proficient Inmates" protocol: | 0 | |

| a. Select why you were unable to conduct at least the minimum required number of targeted inmates/residents/detainees in this category: | Facility said there were "none here" during the onsite portion of the audit and/or the facility was unable to provide a list of these inmates/residents/detainees. | | |
|---|---|--|--|
| | ☐ The inmates/residents/detainees in this targeted category declined to be interviewed. | | |
| b. Discuss your corroboration strategies to determine if this population exists in the audited facility (e.g., based on information obtained from the PAQ; documentation reviewed onsite; and discussions with staff and other inmates/residents/detainees). | Ten of thirteen inmates were interviewed; this auditor did not observe any inmates with physical disabilities during inmate interviews and the facility tour. The PREA Coordinator, medical staff, and correctional staff did not disclose information about any physically disabled inmates housed in the facility. | | |
| 61. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/detainees with a cognitive or functional disability (including intellectual disability, psychiatric disability, or speech disability) using the "Disabled and Limited English Proficient Inmates" protocol: | 0 | | |
| a. Select why you were unable to conduct at least the minimum required number of targeted inmates/residents/detainees in this category: | Facility said there were "none here" during the onsite portion of the audit and/or the facility was unable to provide a list of these inmates/residents/detainees. | | |
| | ☐ The inmates/residents/detainees in this targeted category declined to be interviewed. | | |
| b. Discuss your corroboration strategies to determine if this population exists in the audited facility (e.g., based on information obtained from the PAQ; documentation reviewed onsite; and discussions with staff and other inmates/residents/detainees). | Ten of thirteen inmates were interviewed: this auditor did not observe any inmates with a cognitive or functional disability during inmate interviews and the facility tour. The PREA Coordinator, medical staff, and correctional staff did not disclose information about any functionally disabled inmates housed in the facility. | | |
| 62. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/detainees who are Blind or have low vision (i.e., visually impaired) using the "Disabled and Limited English Proficient Inmates" protocol: | 0 | | |
| a. Select why you were unable to conduct at least the minimum required number of targeted inmates/residents/detainees in this category: | ▼ Facility said there were "none here" during the onsite portion of the audit and/or the facility was unable to provide a list of these inmates/residents/detainees. | | |
| | ☐ The inmates/residents/detainees in this targeted category declined to be interviewed. | | |
| b. Discuss your corroboration strategies to determine if this population exists in the audited facility (e.g., based on information obtained from the PAQ; documentation reviewed onsite; and discussions with staff and other inmates/residents/detainees). | Ten of thirteen inmates were interviewed; this auditor did not observe any inmates who were blink or had low vision during inmate interviews and the facility tour. The PREA Coordinator, medical staff, and correctional staff did not disclose information about any inmates who are blind or have low vision. | | |
| 63. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/detainees who are Deaf or hard-of-hearing using the "Disabled and Limited English Proficient Inmates" protocol: | 0 | | |

| a. Select why you were unable to conduct at least the minimum required number of targeted inmates/residents/detainees in this category: | Facility said there were "none here" during the onsite portion of the audit and/or the facility was unable to provide a list of these inmates/residents/detainees. |
|--|---|
| | ☐ The inmates/residents/detainees in this targeted category declined to be interviewed. |
| b. Discuss your corroboration strategies to determine if this population exists in the audited facility (e.g., based on information obtained from the PAQ; documentation reviewed onsite; and discussions with staff and other inmates/residents/detainees). | Ten of thirteen inmates were interviewed; this auditor did not observe any inmates who were deaf or hard of hearing during inmate interviews and the facility tour. The PREA Coordinator, medical staff, and correctional staff did not disclose information about any inmates who were deaf or hard of hearing. |
| 64. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/detainees who are Limited English Proficient (LEP) using the "Disabled and Limited English Proficient Inmates" protocol: | 0 |
| a. Select why you were unable to conduct at least the minimum required number of targeted inmates/residents/detainees in this category: | Facility said there were "none here" during the onsite portion of the audit and/or the facility was unable to provide a list of these inmates/residents/detainees. |
| | The inmates/residents/detainees in this targeted category declined to be interviewed. |
| b. Discuss your corroboration strategies to determine if this population exists in the audited facility (e.g., based on information obtained from the PAQ; documentation reviewed onsite; and discussions with staff and other inmates/residents/detainees). | Ten of thirteen inmates were interviewed; this auditor did not observe any inmates who were Limited English proficient during inmate interviews and the facility tour. The PREA Coordinator, medical staff, and correctional staff did not disclose information about any inmates who were Limited English proficient. The PREA Coordinator stated an inmate that was Limited English proficient had been released from the facility. |
| 65. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/detainees who identify as lesbian, gay, or bisexual using the "Transgender and Intersex Inmates; Gay, Lesbian, and Bisexual Inmates" protocol: | 0 |
| a. Select why you were unable to conduct at least the minimum required number of targeted inmates/residents/detainees in this category: | Facility said there were "none here" during the onsite portion of the audit and/or the facility was unable to provide a list of these inmates/residents/detainees. |
| | ☐ The inmates/residents/detainees in this targeted category declined to be interviewed. |
| b. Discuss your corroboration strategies to determine if this population exists in the audited facility (e.g., based on information obtained from the PAQ; documentation reviewed onsite; and discussions with staff and other inmates/residents/detainees). | Ten of thirteen inmates were interviewed; this auditor did not observe any inmates that identified as lesbian, gay, or bisexual during inmate interviews and the facility tour. The PREA Coordinator, medical staff, and correctional staff did not disclose information about any inmates identifying as lesbian, gay, or bisexual. |
| 66. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/detainees who identify as transgender or intersex using the "Transgender and Intersex Inmates; Gay, Lesbian, and Bisexual Inmates" protocol: | 0 |

| a. Select why you were unable to conduct at least the minimum required number of targeted inmates/residents/detainees in this category: | ✓ Facility said there were "none here" during the onsite portion of the audit and/or the facility was unable to provide a list of these inmates/residents/detainees. ☐ The inmates/residents/detainees in this targeted category declined to be interviewed. |
|--|---|
| b. Discuss your corroboration strategies to determine if this population exists in the audited facility (e.g., based on information obtained from the PAQ; documentation reviewed onsite; and discussions with staff and other inmates/residents/detainees). | Ten of thirteen inmates were interviewed; this auditor did not observe any inmates that identified as transgender or intersex during inmate interviews and the facility tour. The PREA Coordinator, medical staff, and correctional staff did not disclose information about any inmates identifying as transgender or intersex. |
| 67. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/detainees who reported sexual abuse in this facility using the "Inmates who Reported a Sexual Abuse" protocol: | 0 |
| a. Select why you were unable to conduct at least the minimum required number of targeted inmates/residents/detainees in this category: | Facility said there were "none here" during the onsite portion of the audit and/or the facility was unable to provide a list of these inmates/residents/detainees. The inmates/residents/detainees in this targeted category declined to be interviewed. |
| b. Discuss your corroboration strategies to determine if this population exists in the audited facility (e.g., based on information obtained from the PAQ; documentation reviewed onsite; and discussions with staff and other inmates/residents/detainees). | Ten of thirteen inmates were interviewed and answered "no" when asked if they had reported being sexually abused in the facility. The facility identified four inmates who had reported sexual abuse or sexual harassment in the past twelve months. This auditor compared the inmate roster with the names who had made a report and verified that all four inmates had been released. The PREA Coordinator, medical staff, and correctional staff did not disclose information about other inmates who reported sexual abuse or harassment in the facility. |
| 68. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/detainees who disclosed prior sexual victimization during risk screening using the "Inmates who Disclosed Sexual Victimization during Risk Screening" protocol: | 0 |
| a. Select why you were unable to conduct at least the minimum required number of targeted inmates/residents/detainees in this category: | ✓ Facility said there were "none here" during the onsite portion of the audit and/or the facility was unable to provide a list of these inmates/residents/detainees. ☐ The inmates/residents/detainees in this targeted category declined to be interviewed. |
| b. Discuss your corroboration strategies to determine if this population exists in the audited facility (e.g., based on information obtained from the PAQ; documentation reviewed onsite; and discussions with staff and other inmates/residents/detainees). | The facility does not track inmates who disclosed prior sexual victimization. Ten of thirteen inmates were interviewed and did not disclose reporting prior victimization during the risk screening. The PREA Coordinator, medical staff, and correctional staff did not disclose information about inmates who had disclosed prior victimization during the risk screening. |

| 69. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/detainees who are or were ever placed in segregated housing/isolation for risk of sexual victimization using the "Inmates Placed in Segregated Housing (for Risk of Sexual Victimization/Who Allege to have Suffered Sexual Abuse)" protocol: | 0 |
|---|--|
| a. Select why you were unable to conduct at least the minimum required number of targeted inmates/residents/detainees in this category: | Facility said there were "none here" during the onsite portion of the audit and/or the facility was unable to provide a list of these inmates/residents/detainees. The inmates/residents/detainees in this targeted category declined to be interviewed. |
| b. Discuss your corroboration strategies to determine if this population exists in the audited facility (e.g., based on information obtained from the PAQ; documentation reviewed onsite; and discussions with staff and other inmates/residents/detainees). | The facility reported that zero inmates had been placed in segregated housing for risk of sexual victimization. The inmate roster was reviewed to confirm zero inmates were assigned to a segregation cell. The facility has six housing units, allowing flexibility to separate inmates. The PREA Coordinator confirmed as a general rule; inmates are not placed in segregated housing for risk of sexual victimization. |
| 70. Provide any additional comments regarding selecting or interviewing targeted inmates/residents/detainees (e.g., any populations you oversampled, barriers to completing interviews): | No text provided. |
| | |
| Staff, Volunteer, and Contractor Interviews | |
| Staff, Volunteer, and Contractor Interviews Random Staff Interviews | |
| · · | 5 |
| Random Staff Interviews 71. Enter the total number of RANDOM STAFF who were | 5 ☐ Length of tenure in the facility ☐ Shift assignment ☐ Work assignment ☐ Rank (or equivalent) ☐ Other (e.g., gender, race, ethnicity, languages spoken) ☑ None |
| Random Staff Interviews 71. Enter the total number of RANDOM STAFF who were interviewed: 72. Select which characteristics you considered when you | ☐ Length of tenure in the facility ☐ Shift assignment ☐ Work assignment ☐ Rank (or equivalent) ☐ Other (e.g., gender, race, ethnicity, languages spoken) |

| a. Select the reason(s) why you were unable to conduct the minimum number of RANDOM STAFF interviews: (select all that apply) | ☐ Too many staff declined to participate in interviews. ☑ Not enough staff employed by the facility to meet the minimum number of random staff interviews (Note: select this option if there were not enough staff employed by the facility or not enough staff employed by the facility to interview for both random and specialized staff roles). ☐ Not enough staff available in the facility during the onsite portion of the audit to meet the minimum number of random staff interviews. ☐ Other |
|---|---|
| 74. Provide any additional comments regarding selecting or interviewing random staff (e.g., any populations you oversampled, barriers to completing interviews, barriers to ensuring representation): | No text provided. |
| Specialized Staff, Volunteers, and Contractor Interviews | |
| Staff in some facilities may be responsible for more than one of the sp apply to an interview with a single staff member and that information w | ecialized staff duties. Therefore, more than one interview protocol may rould satisfy multiple specialized staff interview requirements. |
| 75. Enter the total number of staff in a SPECIALIZED STAFF role who were interviewed (excluding volunteers and contractors): | 5 |
| 76. Were you able to interview the Agency Head? | ⊙ Yes ⊙ No |
| 77. Were you able to interview the Warden/Facility Director/Superintendent or their designee? | Yes○ No |
| 78. Were you able to interview the PREA Coordinator? | ⊙ Yes ⊙ No |
| 79. Were you able to interview the PREA Compliance Manager? | ○ Yes ○ No ○ NA (NA if the agency is a single facility agency or is otherwise not required to have a PREA Compliance Manager per the Standards) |

| 80. Select which SPECIALIZED STAFF roles were interviewed as part of this audit from the list below: (select all that apply) 81. Did you interview VOLUNTEERS who may have contact | ✓ Agency contract administrator ☐ Intermediate or higher-level facility staff responsible for conducting and documenting unannounced rounds to identify and deter staff sexual abuse and sexual harassment ☐ Line staff who supervise youthful inmates (if applicable) ☐ Education and program staff who work with youthful inmates (if applicable) ☑ Medical staff ☐ Mental health staff ☐ Non-medical staff involved in cross-gender strip or visual searches ☑ Administrative (human resources) staff ☐ Sexual Assault Forensic Examiner (SAFE) or Sexual Assault Nurse Examiner (SANE) staff ☑ Investigative staff responsible for conducting administrative investigations ☑ Investigative staff responsible for conducting criminal investigations ☑ Staff who perform screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness ☐ Staff who supervise inmates in segregated housing/residents in isolation ☑ Staff on the sexual abuse incident review team ☑ Designated staff member charged with monitoring retaliation ☐ First responders, both security and non-security staff ☑ Intake staff ☐ Other |
|---|--|
| 81. Did you interview VOLUNTEERS who may have contact with inmates/residents/detainees in this facility? | C Yes⊙ No |
| 82. Did you interview CONTRACTORS who may have contact with inmates/residents/detainees in this facility? | ⊙ Yes○ No |
| a. Enter the total number of CONTRACTORS who were interviewed: | 1 |

| b. Select which specialized CONTRACTOR role(s) were interviewed as part of this audit from the list below: (select all | Security/detention |
|---|--|
| that apply) | ☐ Education/programming |
| | ✓ Medical/dental |
| | ☐ Food service |
| | ☐ Maintenance/construction |
| | ☐ Other |
| 83. Provide any additional comments regarding selecting or interviewing specialized staff. | No text provided. |
| SITE REVIEW AND DOCUMENTA | TION SAMPLING |
| Site Review | |
| PREA Standard 115.401 (h) states, "The auditor shall have access to, the requirements in this Standard, the site review portion of the onsite site review is not a casual tour of the facility. It is an active, inquiring provide whether, and the extent to which, the audited facility's practices demonstrate review, you must document your tests of critical functions, implicatified with facility practices. The information you collect through the your compliance determinations and will be needed to complete your and the requirements. | audit must include a thorough examination of the entire facility. The rocess that includes talking with staff and inmates to determine estrate compliance with the Standards. Note: As you are conducting ortant information gathered through observations, and any issues a site review is a crucial part of the evidence you will analyze as part of |
| 84. Did you have access to all areas of the facility? | |
| | C No |
| Was the site review an active, inquiring process that inclu | uded the following: |
| 85. Observations of all facility practices in accordance with the site review component of the audit instrument (e.g., signage, supervision practices, cross-gender viewing and searches)? | ⊙ Yes○ No |
| 86. Tests of all critical functions in the facility in accordance | ⊙ Yes |
| with the site review component of the audit instrument (e.g., risk screening process, access to outside emotional support services, interpretation services)? | ○ No |
| 87. Informal conversations with inmates/residents/detainees | ⊙ Yes |
| during the site review (encouraged, not required)? | C No |
| 88. Informal conversations with staff during the site review | ⊙ Yes |
| (encouraged, not required)? | C No |
| 89. Provide any additional comments regarding the site review (e.g., access to areas in the facility, observations, tests of critical functions, or informal conversations). | No text provided. |
| Documentation Sampling | |

| supervisory rounds logs; risk screening and intake processing records; inmate education records; medical files; and investigative files-auditors must self-select for review a representative sample of each type of record. | | | | |
|--|----------------------------------|--|--|--|
| 90. In addition to the proof documentation selected by the agency or facility and provided to you, did you also conduct an auditor-selected sampling of documentation? | YesNo | | | |
| 91. Provide any additional comments regarding selecting additional documentation (e.g., any documentation you oversampled, barriers to selecting additional documentation, etc.). No text provided. | | | | |
| SEXUAL ABUSE AND SEXUAL HARASSMENT ALLEGATIONS | | | | |

Where there is a collection of records to review-such as staff, contractor, and volunteer training records; background check records;

AND INVESTIGATIONS IN THIS FACILITY

Sexual Abuse and Sexual Harassment Allegations and Investigations Overview

Remember the number of allegations should be based on a review of all sources of allegations (e.g., hotline, third-party, grievances) and should not be based solely on the number of investigations conducted. Note: For question brevity, we use the term "inmate" in the following questions. Auditors should provide information on inmate, resident, or detainee sexual abuse allegations and investigations, as applicable to the facility type being audited.

92. Total number of SEXUAL ABUSE allegations and investigations overview during the 12 months preceding the audit, by incident type:

| | # of sexual abuse allegations | # of criminal investigations | # of administrative investigations | # of allegations that had both criminal and administrative investigations |
|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------------|---|
| Inmate-on- inmate sexual abuse | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Staff-on-inmate sexual abuse | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

93. Total number of SEXUAL HARASSMENT allegations and investigations overview during the 12 months preceding the audit, by incident type:

| | # of sexual harassment allegations | # of criminal | # of administrative investigations | # of allegations that had both criminal and administrative investigations |
|------------------------------------|--|---------------|------------------------------------|---|
| Inmate-on-inmate sexual harassment | 4 | 1 | 3 | 0 |
| Staff-on-inmate sexual harassment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 4 | 1 | 3 | 0 |

Sexual Abuse and Sexual Harassment Investigation Outcomes

Sexual Abuse Investigation Outcomes

Note: these counts should reflect where the investigation is currently (i.e., if a criminal investigation was referred for prosecution and resulted in a conviction, that investigation outcome should only appear in the count for "convicted.") Do not double count. Additionally, for question brevity, we use the term "inmate" in the following questions. Auditors should provide information on inmate, resident, and detained sexual abuse investigation files, as applicable to the facility type being audited.

94. Criminal SEXUAL ABUSE investigation outcomes during the 12 months preceding the audit:

| | Ongoing | Referred for Prosecution | Indicted/Court Case Filed | Convicted/Adjudicated | Acquitted |
|-------------------------------|---------|-----------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------|
| Inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Staff-on-inmate sexual abuse | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

95. Administrative SEXUAL ABUSE investigation outcomes during the 12 months preceding the audit:

| | Ongoing | Unfounded | Unsubstantiated | Substantiated |
|-------------------------------|---------|-----------|-----------------|---------------|
| Inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Staff-on-inmate sexual abuse | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Sexual Harassment Investigation Outcomes

Note: these counts should reflect where the investigation is currently. Do not double count. Additionally, for question brevity, we use the term "inmate" in the following questions. Auditors should provide information on inmate, resident, and detainee sexual harassment investigation files, as applicable to the facility type being audited.

96. Criminal SEXUAL HARASSMENT investigation outcomes during the 12 months preceding the audit:

| | Ongoing | Referred for Prosecution | Indicted/Court Case Filed | Convicted/Adjudicated | Acquitted |
|------------------------------------|---------|-----------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------|
| Inmate-on-inmate sexual harassment | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Staff-on-inmate sexual harassment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

97. Administrative SEXUAL HARASSMENT investigation outcomes during the 12 months preceding the audit:

| | Ongoing | Unfounded | Unsubstantiated | Substantiated |
|------------------------------------|---------|-----------|-----------------|---------------|
| Inmate-on-inmate sexual harassment | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 |
| Staff-on-inmate sexual harassment | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 |
| Total | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 |

Sexual Abuse and Sexual Harassment Investigation Files Selected for Review

Sexual Abuse Investigation Files Selected for Review

| 98. Enter the total number of SEXUAL ABUSE investigation files reviewed/sampled: | 0 | |
|---|---|--|
| a. Explain why you were unable to review any sexual abuse investigation files: | The facility reported zero sexual abuse investigations in the twelve months before the audit. | |
| 99. Did your selection of SEXUAL ABUSE investigation files include a cross-section of criminal and/or administrative investigations by findings/outcomes? | Yes No NA (NA if you were unable to review any sexual abuse investigation files) | |
| Inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse investigation files | | |
| 100. Enter the total number of INMATE-ON-INMATE SEXUAL ABUSE investigation files reviewed/sampled: | 0 | |
| 101. Did your sample of INMATE-ON-INMATE SEXUAL ABUSE investigation files include criminal investigations? | Yes No NA (NA if you were unable to review any inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse investigation files) | |
| 102. Did your sample of INMATE-ON-INMATE SEXUAL ABUSE investigation files include administrative investigations? | Yes No NA (NA if you were unable to review any inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse investigation files) | |
| Staff-on-inmate sexual abuse investigation files | | |
| 103. Enter the total number of STAFF-ON-INMATE SEXUAL ABUSE investigation files reviewed/sampled: | 0 | |
| 104. Did your sample of STAFF-ON-INMATE SEXUAL ABUSE investigation files include criminal investigations? | Yes No NA (NA if you were unable to review any staff-on-inmate sexual abuse investigation files) | |
| 105. Did your sample of STAFF-ON-INMATE SEXUAL ABUSE investigation files include administrative investigations? | Yes No NA (NA if you were unable to review any staff-on-inmate sexual abuse investigation files) | |
| Sexual Harassment Investigation Files Selected for Review | | |
| 106. Enter the total number of SEXUAL HARASSMENT investigation files reviewed/sampled: | 4 | |

| 107. Did your selection of SEXUAL HARASSMENT investigation files include a cross-section of criminal and/or administrative investigations by findings/outcomes? | Yes No NA (NA if you were unable to review any sexual harassment investigation files) | |
|---|---|--|
| Inmate-on-inmate sexual harassment investigation files | | |
| 108. Enter the total number of INMATE-ON-INMATE SEXUAL HARASSMENT investigation files reviewed/sampled: | 4 | |
| 109. Did your sample of INMATE-ON-INMATE SEXUAL HARASSMENT files include criminal investigations? | Yes No NA (NA if you were unable to review any inmate-on-inmate sexual harassment investigation files) | |
| 110. Did your sample of INMATE-ON-INMATE SEXUAL HARASSMENT investigation files include administrative investigations? | Yes No NA (NA if you were unable to review any inmate-on-inmate sexual harassment investigation files) | |
| Staff-on-inmate sexual harassment investigation files | | |
| 111. Enter the total number of STAFF-ON-INMATE SEXUAL HARASSMENT investigation files reviewed/sampled: | 0 | |
| 112. Did your sample of STAFF-ON-INMATE SEXUAL HARASSMENT investigation files include criminal investigations? | C Yes No NA (NA if you were unable to review any staff-on-inmate sexual harassment investigation files) | |
| 113. Did your sample of STAFF-ON-INMATE SEXUAL HARASSMENT investigation files include administrative investigations? | C Yes No NA (NA if you were unable to review any staff-on-inmate sexual harassment investigation files) | |
| 114. Provide any additional comments regarding selecting and reviewing sexual abuse and sexual harassment investigation files. | The facility reports receiving zero allegations of staff-on-inmate sexual harassment. | |
| SUPPORT STAFF INFORMATION | | |
| DOJ-certified PREA Auditors Support Staff | | |

| 115. Did you receive assistance from any DOJ-CERTIFIED PREA AUDITORS at any point during this audit? REMEMBER: the audit includes all activities from the pre-onsite through the post-onsite phases to the submission of the final report. Make sure you respond accordingly. | ○ Yes○ No |
|---|--|
| Non-certified Support Staff | |
| 116. Did you receive assistance from any NON-CERTIFIED SUPPORT STAFF at any point during this audit? REMEMBER: the audit includes all activities from the pre-onsite through the post-onsite phases to the submission of the final report. Make sure you respond accordingly. | ○ Yes⊙ No |
| AUDITING ARRANGEMENTS AN | D COMPENSATION |
| 121. Who paid you to conduct this audit? | The audited facility or its parent agency |
| | My state/territory or county government employer (if you audit as part of a consortium or circular auditing arrangement, select this option) |
| | A third-party auditing entity (e.g., accreditation body, consulting firm) |
| | Other |

Standards

Auditor Overall Determination Definitions

- Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
- Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the stand for the relevant review period)
- Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective actions)

Auditor Discussion Instructions

Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

115.11 Zero tolerance of sexual abuse and sexual harassment; PREA coordinator Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard **Auditor Discussion** The facility has implemented a zero-tolerance policy as detailed in facility policy #5-10, which comprehensively addresses the Agency's approach to preventing, detecting, and responding to all forms of sexual abuse and sexual harassment. The policy outlines prohibited behavior and contains key definitions outlined in the PREA standards. The Barnes County Correctional Facility is a new facility that opened in September 2021 and has struggled with staffing that has required the Lieutenant to cover the duties of a correctional officer. A Lieutenant is the designated PREA Coordinator who reports directly to the Jail Administrator as outlined in the organizational chart. The PREA Coordinator reports insufficient time to develop, implement, and oversee the Agency's efforts toward PREA compliance. Interviews with the Sheriff, Chief Deputy, Jail Administrator, and PREA Coordinator confirmed the facility has multiple applicants in different phases of the hiring process. Barnes County operates one facility; a PREA Compliance Manager is required if the Agency operates more than one facility. Corrective action required: Develop a schedule that allows the PREA Coordinator uninterrupted time to work on PREA compliance. The Sheriff's Administration understands the importance of the PREA Coordinator having sufficient time to develop, implement, and oversee the Agency's PREA compliance which includes scheduling an additional female correctional officer on the PREA Coordinator's shift.

| 115.12 | Contracting with other entities for the confinement of inmates | | |
|--------|---|--|--|
| | Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard | | |
| | Auditor Discussion | | |
| | The facility does not contract with other agencies for the confinement of its inmates. The facility houses inmates for the State and several local North Dakota Counties. | | |

115.13 Supervision and monitoring Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard **Auditor Discussion** Facility policy #1-02 outlines the minimum staffing requirements for the facility but does not address all the components outlined in paragraph (a) of this standard. The PREA Coordinator submitted a staffing plan that addresses all the components outlined in paragraph (a) of this standard. The facility has not deviated from the staffing plan; jail administration covers open correctional officer shifts. On the dates of the onsite audit, the facility had multiple applicants in different phases of the hiring process. The facility has a log tracking staffing for each shift. The facility has a policy outlining the minimum staffing requirements based on times of the day and inmate activities on different shifts. The PREA Coordinator reports not being consulted at least annually on the staffing plan. The PREA Coordinator developed the PREA-compliant staffing plan and will review the staffing plan annually. Facility policy #5-10 requires intermediate or higher level supervisors to conduct unannounced rounds to identify and deter staff sexual abuse and harassment. Staffing levels have required administrative staff to fill correctional officer shifts and conduct hourly well-being checks. Completing official unannounced rounds as outlined in paragraph (d) of this standard has been problematic when the administration fills correctional officer shifts. Facility policy #5-10 prohibits staff from alerting other staff members when a supervisor is conducting unannounced rounds unless the announcement is related to legitimate operational functions of the jail. Corrective action required: Develop a PREA-compliant staffing plan that takes into consideration the requirements outlined in paragraph (a) of this standard Implement an annual review of the staffing plan that includes the PREA Coordinator Implement a formal process for administration to conduct and document unannounced rounds. The rounds should be completed on the day and night shifts. Corrective action completed:

The PREA Coordinator submitted a staffing plan that includes this standard's requirements outlined in paragraph (a).

The PREA Coordinator developed the PREA-compliant staffing plan and will review it annually.

The PREA Coordinator provided documentation of unannounced rounds.

| 115.14 | Youthful inmates |
|--------|--|
| | Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard |
| | Auditor Discussion |
| | The facility does not house juvenile offenders; this standard does not apply to the Barnes County Correctional Facility. |

115.15 Limits to cross-gender viewing and searches Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard **Auditor Discussion** Facility policy #5-10 prohibits staff from conducting cross-gender strip or cross-gender visual body cavity searches. The facility reports conducting zero cross-gender strip or cross-gender visual body cavity searches. Interviews with staff and inmates confirmed that cross-gender searches were not conducted. Facility policy #5-10 prohibits male officers from conducting pat searches of female inmates. In the past twelve months, the facility reports zero pat-down searches of female inmates conducted by male staff. Five random staff interviewed confirmed male staff does not pat search female inmates. There were thirteen male inmates in custody on the first day of the onsite audit. Before the last day of the onsite audit, a female was booked into the facility. The inmate was interviewed and confirmed male officers do not search female inmates. Facility policy #5-10 requires staff to document all cross-gender strip searches and visual body cavity searches. Facility policy #5-10 allows inmates to shower, perform bodily functions, and change clothing in privacy. All opposite gender staff must announce "Female Entering" or "Male Entering" when entering a housing unit populated by inmates of the opposite gender. Staff and inmates interviews confirmed that staff announces their presence before entering a housing unit populated by inmates of the opposite gender. The announcement is logged in the control room log. Facility policy #5-10 prohibits staff from searching or physically examining a transgender or intersex inmate to determine the inmate's genital status. Five staff interviewed knew the policy and would follow the policy. During their initial orientation, facility staff is trained to conduct cross-gender pat-down searches and searches of transgender and intersex inmates professionally and respectfully. Staff interviewed were able to explain how to conduct patdown searches. Staff also receive training on searching techniques when they attend Correctional Officer Training.

115.16 Inmates with disabilities and inmates who are limited English proficient Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard **Auditor Discussion** The facility ensures key information about its Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA) is continuously and readily available or visible to inmates through posters, inmate handbooks, kiosk systems, and texting devices. The facility has access to the North Dakota Freelance Interpreter list through the Stutsman County Website for deaf and hard of hearing inmates. A staff member will read or explain the PREA information to inmates with intellectual disabilities or limited reading skills. The PREA Coordinator demonstrated accessing the interpreter list. The facility contracts with Language Link to provide interpreter services for limited English-speaking inmates. Multiple language options are available on the kiosk for inmates, which includes an option for Spanish. Inmate texting devices offer an option to select English or Spanish. These options provide inmates with limited English proficiency with equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the Agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment. The Sheriff confirmed the Agency has a contract with Language Link and the kiosks in the housing units offer inmates access to multiple languages. Facility policy #5-10 prohibits using inmate interpreters except in limited circumstances and must be fully documented. An extended delay in obtaining an effective interpreter could compromise the inmate's safety, the performance of first responders, and the investigation of the inmate's allegations. Staff interviewed would use a professional interpreter, and all reported they had not seen an inmate interpreter used to report an allegation of sexual abuse.

115.17 Hiring and promotion decisions

Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard

Auditor Discussion

Facility policy #5-10 prohibits the hiring or promotion of anyone who may have contact with inmates and prohibits enlisting the services of any contractor who may have contact with inmates who has the prohibited conduct specified in paragraph (a) of this standard. Any incidents of sexual harassment are considered in determining whether to hire or promote anyone or enlist the services of any contractor who may have contact with inmates.

A comprehensive criminal history background check is conducted before hiring new employees who may have contact with inmates and before enlisting the services of any contractor who may have contact with inmates. The North Dakota Bureau of Criminal Investigation (ND BCI) conducts criminal history background checks using a fingerprint-based criminal history check and includes local, State, and Federal records checks. The facility has a form sent to new applicants' institutional employers.

The facility conducts criminal background records checks every five years on all current employees, volunteers, and contractors who may have contact with inmates. Criminal background record checks were completed two years ago on current employees. The PREA Coordinator confirmed a standard hiring practice for new employees and contractors, including thorough criminal background records checks by the ND BCI.

During the interview process, the facility asks applicants and staff promotions about previous misconduct described in paragraph (a) of this standard. When a job is offered to an applicant after completing the background, the facility asks about previous misconduct described in paragraph (a) of this standard. Facility policy #5-10 requires staff to disclose any misconduct described in paragraph (a) of this standard. The facility does not ask employees about misconduct described in paragraph (a) of this standard in written self-evaluations. The PREA Coordinator submitted an updated self-evaluation form that asks employees about misconduct described in paragraph (a) of this standard. The new self-evaluation form will be used starting in the following evaluation cycle.

Facility policy #5-10 states material omissions regarding such misconduct or the provision of materially false information shall be grounds for termination.

Unless prohibited by law, the PREA Coordinator confirmed the Agency would provide information on substantiated allegations of sexual abuse or harassment involving a former employee upon receiving a signed release of information from an institutional employer for whom the employee has applied to work.

Corrective action required: Update the employee self-evaluations to include questions about misconduct described in paragraph (a) of this standard.

Corrective action completed: The PREA Coordinator submitted an updated self-evaluation form that asks employees about misconduct described in paragraph (a) of this standard. The new self-evaluation form will be used starting in the following evaluation cycle.

| 115.18 | Upgrades to facilities and technologies |
|--------|---|
| | Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard |
| | Auditor Discussion |
| | The Barnes County Correctional Facility is a new jail that opened in September 2021. The Sheriff and Jail Administrator confirmed the new jail design was based on PREA and the protection of inmates. The facility operates as an indirect supervision jail. Central control is centrally located, allowing unobstructed views of the inmate corridors and an indirect view of all the housing pods. |
| | The new facility has a new security system. The cameras have been strategically placed to eliminate blind spots in the facility and augment the staff's ability to identify and respond to inmate incidents. |

115.21 Evidence protocol and forensic medical examinations Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard **Auditor Discussion** The Barnes County Sheriff's Office conducts criminal and administrative sexual abuse and harassment investigations. The investigator follows the Sheriff's Office investigative policy, which outlines a uniform evidence collection protocol. The lead SANE Nurse confirmed they follow the National Protocol for Sexual Assault Medical Forensic Examinations, Adults/Adolescents to conduct forensic medical examinations. Forensic Medical Examinations will be conducted at Sanford Medical Center in Fargo, North Dakota https://www.sanfordhealth.org/medical-services/emergency-medicine/trau ma. Sanford Medical Center has SANE Nurses available twenty-four hours, seven days. Inmates transported from the jail will receive the same level of care for sexual abuse as someone in the community. Treatment services will be provided free of charge to the inmate. The facility has a signed Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) with the Abused Person Outreach Center (APOC) in Valley City, North Dakota https://www.apocnd.org/ to provide advocacy services for inmate victims of sexual abuse. If requested by the victim, the facility would contact APOC to provide support services at the jail and accompany the inmate to the Sanford Medical Center in Fargo, North Dakota. If the victim refuses services from APOC, Sanford Health will contact the Rape and Abuse Crisis Center, and an advocate from the Rape and Abuse Crisis Center will report to the hospital. The Advocacy Supervisor at the Rape and Abuse Crisis Center and the lead SANE Nurse at the Sanford Medical Center explained their coordination responsibilities on the Response Team. The Rape and Abuse Crisis Center would coordinate continued services with APOC for inmate victims returning to the Barnes County Corrections Center. The Agency conducts investigations into allegations of sexual abuse and harassment.

The correctional staff interviewed understood their responsibility related to the Agency's protocols for obtaining usable physical evidence and who is responsible for conducting investigations.

| 115.22 | Policies to ensure referrals of allegations for investigations |
|--------|---|
| | Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard |
| | Auditor Discussion |
| | Facility policy #5-10 ensures a criminal or administrative investigation is completed for all sexual abuse and harassment allegations. The facility's investigative policy is published on the Agency's website: |
| | http://www.co.barnes.nd.us/dept/cor/prea.asp. One unsubstantiated allegation of sexual harassment was reported in the past twelve months. |
| | The Sheriff confirmed that every allegation of sexual abuse or harassment reported to the Agency is documented and investigated. The investigator also confirmed that an investigation would be conducted on every allegation of sexual abuse and harassment. |
| | Recommend the facility update the online PREA Information page for the reader to better understand who investigates allegations of sexual abuse and harassment. |

| 115.31 | Employee training |
|--------|---|
| | Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard |
| | Auditor Discussion |
| | Facility policy #5-10 outlines the training topics all employees who have contact with inmates receive training on preventing, detecting, and responding to sexual abuse and sexual harassment. The training covers this standard's eleven topics listed in paragraph (a). Staff is trained using the Corrections One training curriculum and the facility policy. In the State of North Dakota, correctional staff must complete 120 hours of training approved by the North Dakota Department of Corrections and Rehabilitations before working independently in a facility. PREA is a subject that is covered during the certification training. |
| | The facility is a forty-six-bed jail that houses adult male and female inmates. The training is designed to meet the needs of the facility. |
| | Training records confirmed all correctional staff has received training in the past twelve months. Staff's verification of training and understanding of the training is documented electronically. |

| 115.32 | Volunteer and contractor training |
|--------|---|
| | Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard |
| | Auditor Discussion |
| | The facility contracts food service with Consolidated Management Company, and staff receives PREA training through their company. Food Service employees do not have contact with inmates. Medical staff receives PREA training on the National Institute of Corrections training platform and reviews the facility's PREA policy. Volunteer training includes watching a PREA video and signing a PREA acknowledgment form. A religious volunteer comes into the building weekly. The facility maintains documentation electronically and written confirming understanding and receiving PREA training. |
| | Although the facility has a training program for contractors and volunteers, I could not identify a standard procedure. To comply with this standard, the facility should develop a formal PREA training process for volunteers and contractors. And a method for documenting an approved list of volunteers and contractors. |
| | The facility has provided the training that volunteers and contractors receive and developed a checklist that includes the dates the individual has completed PREA training, signed the acknowledgment, and the date the individual has been approved to enter the jail. |

Inmate education Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard Auditor Discussion The facility reports that 314 inmates were admitted in the past twelve months, and 39 of those inmates' length of stay was for thirty days or more. Upon admission, inmates are told about the Agency's zero-tolerance policy and how to report incidents or suspicions of sexual abuse and harassment. Inmates sign a form acknowledging receipt of information. As part of the intake process, inmates are given a copy of the inmate handbook that contains information under the Prison Rape Elimination Act section on the Agency's zero-tolerance policy on sexual abuse and harassment, including definitions,

As part of the intake process, inmates are given a copy of the inmate handbook that contains information under the Prison Rape Elimination Act section on the Agency's zero-tolerance policy on sexual abuse and harassment, including definitions, how to report, protection from retaliation, and contact information for outside support organizations. Once in the unit, the first time an inmate signs into the kiosk, they must acknowledge the PREA advisory before being given full access to the kiosk system. PREA information and the PREA orientation video are available on the texting devices issued to inmates. Ten inmates were interviewed and confirmed receiving initial PREA information during the booking process, acknowledging the PREA advisory on the kiosk, and having the PREA orientation video and information on the texting device. Staff interviewed confirmed inmates are given an inmate handbook during the booking process and have access to the kiosks in the units and texting devices.

The facility uses the same booking process for every inmate being admitted.

The facility has access to the North Dakota Freelance Interpreter list through the Stutsman County Website for deaf and hard of hearing inmates. A staff member will read or explain the PREA information to inmates with intellectual disabilities or limited reading skills. The PREA Coordinator demonstrated accessing the interpreter list—the facility contracts with Language Link to provide interpreter services for limited English-speaking inmates. Multiple language options are available on the kiosk for inmates, which includes an option for Spanish. Inmate texting devices offer an option to select English or Spanish. These options provide inmates with limited English proficiency equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the Agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment.

The inmate's acknowledgment of PREA education on the kiosk creates an electronic signature that remains on file. The inmate also signs a PREA acknowledgment form.

Essential information about PREA is continuously available or visible through large posters in each unit, inmate handbooks, PREA education on the kiosk, and texting device.

Since the onsite audit, the facility has implemented a new form, "BCCC PREA/Inmate Handbook Acknowledgment Form," that inmates sign, acknowledging being advised of the Agency's zero-tolerance policy and how to report an incident of sexual abuse and harassment. The signed acknowledgment form is placed in the inmate's file.

| 115.34 | Specialized training: Investigations |
|--------|--|
| | Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard |
| | Auditor Discussion |
| | Facility policy # 5-10 requires all investigative staff to receive specialized investigation training. Currently, three staff have completed the specialized training outlined in paragraph (b) of this standard. |
| | The investigators completed a two-day investigation course through the North Dakota Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation. The Chief Deputy is responsible for conducting criminal investigations and has twenty-five years of law enforcement experience with eight years of conducting sexual assault investigations. |
| | The specialized training includes all the topics listed in paragraph (c) of this standard. |
| | Certificates of completion of training are maintained for the employees. |
| | I recommend that the trained investigators complete annual refresher training for investigating sexual abuse investigations in confinement. |
| | |

| 115.35 | Specialized training: Medical and mental health care |
|--------|--|
| | Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard |
| | Auditor Discussion |
| | Facility policy #5-10 requires specialized training outlined in paragraph (a) of this standard for all full-and-part time medical and mental health care personnel that regularly work in the facility. The facility training plan includes completing training on "Your Role Responding to Sexual Abuse" and "Medical Health Care for Sexual Assault Victims in a Confinement Setting" through the National Institute of Corrections (NIC) training platform as part of their orientation training. |
| | The paragraph is not applicable; forensic medical examinations are conducted at Sanford Medical Center in Fargo, North Dakota. |
| | The completed NIC training certificates are maintained electronically. |
| | The medical staff complete PREA training outlined in paragraph (a) of standard 115.31. |

115.41 Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness Auditor Overall Determination: Exceeds Standard **Auditor Discussion** As outlined in facility policy #5-10, an intake risk assessment will be completed within twenty-four hours of arrival at the facility. Ten inmates were interviewed; eight confirmed being assessed at intake, one inmate thought so but didn't care and didn't pay attention, and one inmate couldn't remember. Completed risk screenings were provided for the two inmates. The facility's risk screening checklist is objective and includes this standard's criteria outlined in paragraph (d). The screening considers prior acts of sexual abuse, prior convictions for violent offenses, and a history of previous institutional violence or sexual abuse known to the facility. Facility policy #5-10 requires a reassessment within thirty days of the inmate's arrival to determine the risk of sexual victimization and sexual abusiveness. Facility policy #5-10 requires an inmate's risk level to be reassessed when warranted due to a referral, request, incident of sexual abuse, or receipt of additional information that bears on the inmate's risk of sexual victimization or abusiveness. Facility policy #5-10 prohibits inmates from being disciplined for refusing to answer questions on the risk assessment. The PREA Coordinator and staff interviewed confirmed inmates are not disciplined for refusing to answer questions on the risk assessment. Before the onsite audit, the completed risk screenings were stored in the inmate's file. The completed risk screenings are securely stored in the private PREA computer drive after the onsite audit. Access to the completed risk screenings is limited to the PREA Coordinator. This standard requires that an intake screening ordinarily occurs within seventy-two hours of arrival at the Facility. The Facility exceeds this standard by ordinarily completing the intake screening within twenty-four hours.

| Use of screening information |
|---|
| Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard |
| Auditor Discussion |
| Facility policy #5-10 outlines the classification procedures for classifying inmates to keep separate inmates identifying as sexually aggressive from inmates identifying as vulnerable. The PREA Coordinator and staff confirmed inmates are classified based on the risk assessment score to keep potential aggressors separate from potential victims; how the inmate answers the questions on the risk assessment and observations during the booking process are all considered when assigning an inmate to a housing unit. Each inmate is assigned to a housing unit on a case-by-case basis. |
| Housing assignments for transgender and intersex inmates are considered on a case-by-case basis, considering the inmate's view of their safety, the health and safety of the inmate, and any management or security problems that the placement may present. The PREA Coordinator said housing decisions are on a case-by-case basis. |
| The PREA Coordinator said that placement and programming for each transgender or intersex inmate would be reassessed at least twice per year as outlined in policy #5-10. |
| All the showers in the facility are individual, private showers. If a transgender or intersex inmate were uncomfortable showering in the unit, the inmate would be allowed to shower in booking. |
| Facility policy #5-10 prohibits placing a lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, or intersex inmate in dedicated units solely based on such identification or status. The PREA Coordinator verified that placement and programming would be considered case-by-case and not assigned to a designated cell. |
| |

| 115.43 | Protective Custody |
|--------|---|
| | Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard |
| | Auditor Discussion |
| | Facility policy #5-10 prohibits placing inmates at high risk for sexual victimization in involuntary segregated housing unless an assessment of all available alternatives has been made and a determination has been made that there are no known means of separation from likely abusers. If an assessment can't be completed immediately, the inmate may be held in involuntary segregated housing for less than twenty-four hours while assigned staff complete the assessment. |
| | Facility policy #5-10 requires documenting any opportunities that have been limited, the duration of the limitation, and the reason for such limitations if an inmate is placed in segregated housing for being at high risk of sexual victimization. The PREA Coordinator said the facility would follow the policy. |
| | Facility policy #5-10 states inmates will only be assigned to involuntary segregated housing until an alternative means of separation from likely abusers can be arranged. The assignment may not ordinarily exceed thirty days. The Jail Administrator said there were a lot of options to separate inmates, such as using sub-dayrooms. |
| | Facility policy #5-10 states every thirty days, the Administrative Sergeant will afford each inmate a review to determine whether there is a continuing need for separation from the general population. |

| 115.51 | Inmate reporting |
|--------|---|
| | Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard |
| | Auditor Discussion |
| | The facility provides inmates multiple internal ways to report sexual abuse and harassment, retaliation, and staff neglect or violation of responsibilities that may have contributed to an incident. The reporting methods include verbal, electronic request or grievance, electronic PREA report, third party reporting, and anonymously. The ten inmates interviewed reported they could make reports to staff electronically on the kiosk or texting device or call the hotline numbers on the poster. Staff interviewed would accept sexual abuse and sexual harassment reports verbally, in writing, anonymously, and from third parties. The staff would document a verbal report as soon as possible, as outlined in facility policy #5-10. |
| | The facility has entered into a Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) with the Abused Persons Outreach Center (APOC) https://www.apocnd.org/ located in Valley City, North Dakota, to accept private reports from inmates and immediately forward the report to the facility allowing the inmate to remain anonymous. The inmates can also privately report to the Valley City Police Department. Inmates detained solely for immigration purposes can report to the Office of the Inspector General. Additional contact information for inmates detained solely for immigration purposes is listed in the inmate handbook and posted in booking. The contact information for the agencies is listed in the inmate handbook and on a large poster in each housing unit. |
| | Staff can privately report in person, via email, or phone to any supervisor or anonymously report to the Valley City Police Department in writing. The facility has posters with the reporting information displayed in all staff areas. Staff interviewed would privately report to the PREA Coordinator, Captain, or Jail Administrator. |
| | |

115.52 **Exhaustion of administrative remedies** Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard **Auditor Discussion** The facility reports that there have been four grievances and zero emergency grievances filed alleging sexual abuse or harassment in the past twelve months. The four grievances filed alleging sexual harassment. The facility has a formalized grievance process outlined in policy #5-10 and the inmate handbook. Facility policy #5-10 ensures there is no time limit on when an inmate may submit a grievance regarding an allegation of sexual abuse or harassment and does not require an inmate to use an informal grievance process, or attempt to resolve with staff, an alleged incident of sexual abuse. Facility policy #5-10 ensures an inmate who alleges sexual abuse may submit a grievance without submitting it to a staff member who is the subject of the complaint. Such grievances will not be referred to a staff member who is the subject of the complaint. The inmate received a response within ninety days of initially submitting a grievance in all four grievances submitted. As outlined in facility policy #5-10, the Jail Administrator will issue a final decision on the merits of any portion of a grievance

alleging sexual abuse within ninety days of the initial filing. The facility may use an extension of up to seventy days to issue a final decision if the normal time period is insufficient to make an appropriate decision.

The facility allows inmates to have assistance filing a grievance related to sexual abuse or harassment. Third parties, including fellow inmates, staff members, family members, attorneys, and outside advocates, shall be permitted to assist inmates in filing grievances. The inmate must agree to process the grievance filed on their behalf. An inmate's decision to decline the processing of the grievance shall be documented and verified by the inmate's signature.

Emergency grievances are permitted if an inmate is subject to a substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse. Upon receiving an emergency grievance alleging imminent sexual abuse, the grievance will immediately be forwarded to the Jail Administrator, for which immediate corrective action may be taken. An initial response will be provided within forty-eight hours, and a final decision within five calendar days.

Facility policy #5-10 states an inmate may be disciplined for filing a grievance related to alleged sexual abuse only when there is a determination the inmate filed the grievance in bad faith.

| 115.53 | Inmate access to outside confidential support services |
|--------|---|
| | Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard |
| | Auditor Discussion |
| | The facility has entered into a Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) for collaborative services with the Abused Persons Outreach Center (APOC) https://www.apocnd.org/ located in Valley City, North Dakota, to provide access to outside victim advocates for emotional support services related to sexual abuse. |
| | The facility has a list of contact information for inmates detained solely for civil immigration purposes in the inmate handbook. |
| | Inmates are informed of the confidentiality of their calls to APOC and the Valley City Police Department in the inmate handbook. The APOC number is listed as a free, private call. Inmates can use the inmate telephone system or texting device to contact APOC at no charge. |
| | The facility maintains copies of the signed MOUs. |

| 115.54 | Third-party reporting |
|--------|---|
| | Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard |
| | Auditor Discussion |
| | The facility has established a method for receiving third-party reports of sexual abuse and sexual harassment of inmates. Information on how to report is posted on the Agency's website: http://www.co.barnes.nd.us/dept/cor/prea.asp. |
| | The facility has a Memorandum of Understanding with the Valley City Police Department and the Abused Person Outreach Center (APOC) to accept sexual abuse and harassment reports and immediately contact the facility with the information. |

| 115.61 | Staff and agency reporting duties |
|--------|---|
| | Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard |
| | Auditor Discussion |
| | Facility policy #5-10 outlines the procedures and expectations that all staff is required to report immediately any knowledge, suspicion, or information regarding an incident of sexual abuse or harassment that occurred in a facility, whether or not it is part of the Agency. Staff interviewed would report directly to the PREA Coordinator or Jail Administrator. |
| | Staff interviewed would follow the policy and immediately report any information directly to the PREA Coordinator or Jail Administrator. |
| | Medical staff said they informed the inmate of their duty to report and the limitations of confidentiality before asking an inmate any questions on the initial health assessment form. Medical staff is required to report sexual abuse and harassment that happened in a confinement setting. |
| | The Jail Administrator and PREA Coordinator would report the allegation to the Sheriff's Office and Department of Human Services if the alleged victim is under eighteen. |
| | The Jail Administrator confirmed all allegations of sexual abuse and harassment, including third-party and anonymous reports, are assigned to an investigator. |
| | |

| 115.62 | Agency protection duties |
|--------|---|
| | Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard |
| | Auditor Discussion |
| | In the past twelve months, the facility reported that there had been zero instances where the facility determined an inmate was subject to a substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse. |
| | The Sheriff, Jail Administrator, and staff interviewed said that the safety of the inmates is the number one priority, and an inmate would immediately be moved from the situation. |

| 115.63 | Reporting to other confinement facilities |
|--------|---|
| | Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard |
| | Auditor Discussion |
| | The facility reports in the past twelve months, zero allegations of sexual abuse were received from another confinement facility, and zero allegations of sexual abuse the facility have received that an inmate was abused while confined at another facility. |
| | Facility policy #5-10 outlines the reporting requirements of this standard. |
| | The Sheriff and Jail Administrator confirmed that any allegation received by an inmate being abused in another confinement facility would immediately be reported to the Chief Deputy for investigation. |

| 115.64 | Staff first responder duties |
|--------|--|
| | Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard |
| | Auditor Discussion |
| | The facility reports zero allegations of inmate sexual abuse in the past twelve months. |
| | Facility policy #5-10 outlines the responsibilities of staff who are first to respond to an allegation of sexual abuse. |
| | A security staff first responder is required to: |
| | Separate the parties; Notify the shift supervisor of the alleged incident; If appropriate, request the alleged victim not destroy evidence (as described in this standard); If appropriate, ensure the alleged abuser does not destroy evidence (as described in this standard) The staff interviewed understood and could explain their responsibilities as the first responder. The facility has a PREA checklist for the shift supervisor to follow when responding to an incident of sexual abuse. The facility does not have a policy outlining the responsibilities if the first responder is not a security staff member. The PREA Coordinator updated facility policy #5-10 to include the responsibilities of a first responder that is not a security staff member. |
| | The facility does not meet this standard. Recommend the facility add to policy the responsibilities of a first responder that is not a security staff member. The PREA Coordinator updated facility policy #5-10 to include the responsibilities of a first responder that is not a security |
| | staff member. |

| 115.65 | Coordinated response |
|--------|--|
| | Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard |
| | Auditor Discussion |
| | The facility has developed a written institutional plan outlined in policy #5-10 to coordinate actions taken in response to an incident of sexual abuse. The plan outlines responsibilities among staff first responders, medical staff, investigators, and facility leadership. |

| 115.66 | Preservation of ability to protect inmates from contact with abusers |
|--------|--|
| | Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard |
| | Auditor Discussion |
| | North Dakota is a right to work State. There are no collective bargaining units. |

| 115.67 | Agency protection against retaliation |
|--------|--|
| | Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard |
| | Auditor Discussion |
| | The facility reports in the past twelve months; there have been zero incidents of retaliation reported, known, or suspected. Facility policy #5-10 ensures all inmates and staff who report sexual abuse or harassment or cooperate with sexual abuse or harassment investigations are protected from retaliation by other inmates or staff. The PREA Coordinator has been designated as the officer responsible for monitoring for retaliation. Monitoring for retaliation against staff would include negative performance reviews, interaction with other staff members, and emotional support services. The PREA Coordinator confirmed protective measures would be used to protect inmates from retaliation. Measures such as housing changes, transfers for (BOP and USM inmates), removal of the alleged abuser, and emotional support services would be used to monitor inmates for retaliation. |
| | Monitoring for retaliation would continue for a minimum of ninety days and extended if necessary. |
| | The PREA Coordinator would meet with inmates weekly and monitor discipline reports and housing or program changes. The Sheriff and Jail Administrator confirmed that any individual cooperating with an investigation and expressing fear of retaliation would be protected. |
| | |
| | |

| 115.68 | Post-allegation protective custody |
|--------|---|
| | Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard |
| | Auditor Discussion |
| | The facility reports in the past twelve months, there have been zero inmates placed in involuntary segregated housing for twenty-four hours waiting for completion of an assessment and zero inmates placed in involuntary segregated housing for longer than thirty days while awaiting alternative placement. |
| | Facility policy #5-10 prohibits placing inmates at high risk for sexual victimization in involuntary segregated housing unless an assessment of all available alternatives has been made and a determination has been made that there are no known means of separation from likely abusers. If an assessment can't be completed immediately, the inmate may be held in involuntary segregated housing for less than twenty-four hours while assigned staff complete the assessment. |
| | Facility policy #5-10 requires documenting any opportunities that have been limited, the duration of the limitation, and the reason for such limitations if an inmate is placed in segregated housing for being at high risk of sexual victimization. The PREA Coordinator said the facility would follow the policy. |
| | Facility policy #5-10 states inmates will only be assigned to involuntary segregated housing until an alternative means of separation from likely abusers can be arranged. The assignment may not ordinarily exceed thirty days. The Jail Administrator said there were a lot of options to separate inmates, such as using sub-dayrooms. |
| | Facility policy #5-10 states every thirty days, the Administrative Sergeant will afford each inmate a review to determine whether there is a continuing need for separation from the general population. |

115.71 Criminal and administrative agency investigations

Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard

Auditor Discussion

Facility policy #5-10 states when the Agency conducts its investigations into allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment, it shall initiate a thorough and objective investigation for all allegations within twenty-four hours or as circumstances dictate, including third-party and anonymous reports. The Chief Deputy said an investigation would be initiated immediately after receiving the call.

The Chief Deputy conducts the criminal investigations for the Sheriff's Office and has received the specialized training outlined in standard 115.34. The Jail Administrator and Captain have received specialized training outlined in standard 115.34 to conduct administrative investigations.

The Chief Deputy said he follows a uniform evidence protocol and would interview the alleged victim, alleged perpetrator, and witnesses. He would gather evidence at the alleged crime scene, collect any video evidence and incident reports, and review any relevant reports or complaints against the suspected perpetrator.

The Chief Deputy would initiate the investigation and consult with the State's Attorney as the investigation progresses.

The Chief Deputy bases the credibility of an alleged victim, alleged predator, and witnesses on where the evidence leads to prove or disprove the allegations. The Chief Deputy will not use a polygraph examination or truth-telling device on the alleged victim.

Administrative investigations include determining if staff actions or failure to act contributed to the abuse. All administrative investigations are documented in writing and contain descriptions of evidence, the reason for the credibility assessment, and investigative facts and findings.

Facility policy #5-10 states criminal investigations must be documented in a written report that contains a thorough description of physical, testimonial, and documentary evidence. The investigative report reviewed included the requirements of this paragraph.

Once the investigation is completed, the investigation information is referred to the State's Attorney for a prosecutorial decision.

Facility policy #5-10 states all administrative and criminal written reports will be retained for as long as the alleged abuser is incarcerated or employed by the Agency, plus five years.

The Chief Deputy said an investigation would be completed even if the alleged abuser or victim was transferred from the facility or left employment.

If there is a claim that a staff member abused an inmate, the Agency may ask the North Dakota Bureau of Criminal Investigations (BCI) to investigate. The Chief Deputy or PREA Coordinator would contact the BCI for updates on the investigation.

| 115.72 | Evidentiary standard for administrative investigations |
|--------|---|
| | Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard |
| | Auditor Discussion |
| | Facility policy #5-10 states the allegation will be considered substantiated if a preponderance (more than fifty percent) of the evidence supports the finding. |
| | The Chief Deputy confirmed a preponderance of the evidence is used to substantiate an allegation. |

| 115.73 | Reporting to inmates |
|--------|--|
| | Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard |
| | Auditor Discussion |
| | Facility policy #5-10 requires an inmate to be informed whether the allegation has been substantiated, unsubstantiated, or unfounded following the completion of the investigation. In the past twelve months, there has been one administrative and one criminal investigation of sexual harassment. One inmate had been transferred to another Agency, and one inmate was notified in writing. |
| | The PREA Coordinator would maintain contact with the investigating Agency. A copy of the final investigative report or declination to prosecute from the State's Attorney will be forwarded to the PREA Coordinator. |
| | Facility policy #5-10 outlines the information that would be provided to the inmate on the status of the accused staff member (as detailed in this standard.) |
| | Facility policy #5-10 outlines the information that would be provided to the inmate on the status of the alleged abuser if another inmate is accused (as detailed in this standard.) |
| | Facility policy #5-10 states all notifications or attempted notifications of the final determination of the allegation are documented. |

| 115.76 | Disciplinary sanctions for staff |
|--------|---|
| | Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard |
| | Auditor Discussion |
| | In the past twelve months, the facility reports that zero staff members have been disciplined, terminated, or resigned before termination for violating Agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies. Facility policy #5-10 states staff are subject to disciplinary sanctions, including termination for violating agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies. |
| | Facility policy #5-10 states termination shall be the presumptive disciplinary sanction for staff who have engaged in sexual abuse with an inmate. |
| | Facility policy #5-10 outlines the progressive discipline of staff members for violating policies related to sexual abuse and sexual harassment (other than actually engaging in sexual abuse.) |
| | All staff terminations for violations of sexual abuse or harassment policies or resignations by staff that would have been terminated if not for their resignation will be reported to the law enforcement agency that would handle any related investigation and to any relevant licensing bodies, which the Chief Deputy confirmed. |

| 115.77 | Corrective action for contractors and volunteers |
|--------|--|
| | Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard |
| | Auditor Discussion |
| | In the past twelve months, the facility reports zero contractors or volunteers reported to law enforcement or relevant licensing bodies for engaging in sexual abuse of inmates. Facility policy #5-10 states any contractor or volunteer who engages in sexual abuse shall be prohibited from contact with inmates and shall be reported to law enforcement agencies and relevant licensing bodies. |
| | The Jail Administrator confirmed any sexual abuse or harassment violation would be investigated and would prohibit further contact with inmates. |

| 115.78 | Disciplinary sanctions for inmates |
|--------|---|
| | Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard |
| | Auditor Discussion |
| | In the past twelve months, the facility has had zero allegations of sexual abuse filed. The facility has a formalized discipline plan for inmates as outlined in the inmate handbook, policy #5-10, and policy #13-01 includes due process and a fair hearing conducted by an impartial disciplinary hearing committee. |
| | Disciplinary decisions are based on the nature and circumstances of the rule violated, the inmate's discipline history, and other inmates' sanctions imposed for comparable offenses. |
| | The Jail Administrator confirmed the disciplinary hearing committee considers whether an inmate's mental disabilities or mental illness contributed to the conduct when determining any sanctions. |
| | This paragraph is not applicable; the facility does not offer therapy, counseling, or other interventions designed to address and correct underlying reasons or motivations for abuse. |
| | Facility policy #5-10 prohibits disciplining inmates for sexual contact with a staff member unless there is a finding that the staff member did not consent to the contact. |
| | Inmates are not disciplined for reports of sexual abuse made in good faith based on a reasonable belief that the alleged conduct occurred, even if the investigation does not establish evidence sufficient to substantiate the allegation. |
| | The facility prohibits sexual activity between inmates as outlined in policy #5-10 and the inmate handbook. The facility would consider sexual abuse only if the facility determines the activity was coerced. |

| 115.81 | Medical and mental health screenings; history of sexual abuse |
|--------|---|
| | Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard |
| | Auditor Discussion |
| | Staff responsible for risk screenings confirmed inmates who disclose any prior sexual victimization during the risk screening are offered follow-up meetings with medical and mental health staff. Inmates referred to medical are seen within fourteen days. |
| | Information about sexual victimization or abusiveness that occurred in an institutional setting is limited to medical and mental health staff and strictly limited to informing security and management decisions, including treatment plans, housing, bed, work, education, and program assignments. |
| | Medical staff interviewed advised the inmate of their limits of confidentiality as a mandated reporter. |

| 115.82 | Access to emergency medical and mental health services |
|--------|--|
| | Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard |
| | Auditor Discussion |
| | Inmate victims of sexual abuse will be transported to the Sanford Medical Center in Fargo, North Dakota, to receive timely, unimpeded access to emergency medical treatment, evidence collection, and crisis intervention services. |
| | Facility policy #5-10 outlines the duties of the first responders (as detailed in standard 115.65.) Facility policy #5-10 requires staff first responders to take preliminary steps to protect the victim if no qualified medical staff are on duty and immediately contact the on-call medical staff. |
| | Inmates would be offered timely access to emergency contraception and sexually transmitted prophylaxis as part of the forensic medical examination. Medical staff said they would follow up with the inmate and offer services through public health if needed. |
| | Facility policy #5-10 states that victims of sexual abuse shall be provided treatment services without financial cost, regardless of whether they cooperate with an investigation arising out of the incident. |

| 115.83 | Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers |
|--------|---|
| | Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard |
| | Auditor Discussion |
| | The facility contracts with Barnes County Public Health to provide onsite nursing care and Sanford Health to provide medical services to inmates. Inmates who disclose prior sexual abuse victimization in prison, jail, lockup, or juvenile facilities are offered medical and mental health services. |
| | The medical staff confirmed a reasonable care plan would be developed for the inmate based on the recommendations from the SANE nurse. Information for continued care would be sent with an inmate transferring to another facility. |
| | Inmates are transported to a community hospital for forensic medical examinations. Medical staff would schedule specialty appointments in the community appropriate for the inmate's medical care. |
| | Medical staff would offer pregnancy tests to female inmate victims of sexual abuse. |
| | Medical staff confirmed that if pregnancy results, the victim would receive timely and comprehensive information about and access to all lawful pregnancy-related medical services. |
| | Inmate victims of sexual abuse would be offered testing, treatment, and information for sexually transmitted infections which medical staff confirmed. |
| | Policy #5-10 states medical services will be provided to the victim without financial cost, regardless of whether the victim names the abuser or cooperates with any investigation arising out of the incident. |

| 115.86 | Sexual abuse incident reviews |
|--------|--|
| | Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard |
| | Auditor Discussion |
| | Facility policy #5-10 states the facility shall conduct a sexual abuse incident review after every sexual abuse investigation unless the allegation has been deemed unfounded. The facility has not had a sexual abuse allegation reported, so the facility has not had to conduct an incident review. The facility reports one criminal investigation of sexual harassment in the past twelve months. |
| | Policy #5-10 states the review shall ordinarily occur within thirty days of the conclusion of every sexual abuse investigation. |
| | The Jail Administrator said the incident review team consists of the Chief Deputy, Jail Administrator, PREA Coordinator, LT - Valley City Police Department and may include investigators and medical or mental health staff. |
| | Facility policy #5-10 outlines that the review team will consider items (1)-(6) in paragraph (d) of this standard which the PREA Coordinator confirmed. |
| | The incident review team would forward any recommendations the team makes to the Jail Administrator, PREA Coordinator, and the Sheriff. The reasons for not doing so will be documented if the recommendations are not implemented. |

| 115.87 | Data collection |
|--------|---|
| | Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard |
| | Auditor Discussion |
| | The facility collects accurate, uniform data for every allegation of sexual abuse and sexual harassment at its facility using a standardized instrument with a set of definitions and includes the data necessary to answer all the questions on the most recent version of the Survey of Sexual Violence conducted by the Department of Justice. |
| | The facility maintains, reviews, and collects necessary data from incident-based documents. The facility does not contract for the confinement of its inmates. |
| | The Department of Justice has not requested Agency data for 2021 |

| 115.88 | Data review for corrective action |
|--------|--|
| | Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard |
| | Auditor Discussion |
| | The facility reviews sexual abuse data annually for ongoing improvement and any corrective action that should be implemented. The facility prepares an annual report comparing the current and previous years' data. The report consists of an assessment of its progress in addressing sexual abuse and harassment. |
| | The facility redacts all personal information from the report but does not explain what material was redacted. |
| | The Sheriff approves the annual report and publicly distributes it on the Agency's website: http://www.barnescounty.us/dept/cor/prea.asp. |
| | To comply with this standard, the facility should include an explanation on the report explaining what material was redacted. |
| | The facility has added an explanation on its annual report explaining what material has been redacted. |

| 115.89 | Data storage, publication, and destruction |
|--------|---|
| | Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard |
| | Auditor Discussion |
| | The PREA Coordinator securely maintains documentation on a secured computer drive. Access to the documentation is limited to the PREA Coordinator and Jail Administrator. |
| | The facility publishes an annual report on the Agency website: http://www.barnescounty.us/dept/cor/prea.asp. All personal identifiers are removed before making the information public. |
| | Facility policy #5-10 requires that, unless prohibited by Federal, State, or local laws, all sexual abuse data is maintained for at least ten years after the initial collection date. |

| 115.401 | Frequency and scope of audits |
|---------|---|
| | Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard |
| | Auditor Discussion |
| | This is the Barnes County Correctional Facility's first PREA audit in its new facility. The Agency was found to be in full compliance with the PREA standards on September 10, 2019, at its old facility. Since the PREA audit in 2019 and the retirement of the PREA Coordinator, the Agency built a new facility that opened in September 2021 and appointed a new PREA Coordinator. With the transition into the new facility, the PREA Coordinator has had limited time to work on updating and maintaining compliance. The Agency is working on scheduling the PREA Coordinator uninterrupted time to work on PREA compliance. |
| | I was given full access to the facility. I met with staff and inmates privately and without interference, observed the booking process, and talked to staff and inmates informally during the onsite audit. |
| | The Agency operated one facility and provided any documentation requested. PREA audit posters in English and Spanish were posted six weeks before the audit throughout the facility and are still posted during the onsite audit. My name and address were visible to inmates on the notices. I did not receive any correspondence throughout the audit. |

| 115.403 | Audit contents and findings |
|---------|--|
| | Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard |
| | Auditor Discussion |
| | The facility has its final PREA report from 2019 published on its website: http://www.barnescounty.us/dept/cor/AuditFinal.pdf. The contract agreement requires the facility to post this final audit report on its website within ninety days after receiving the final report. |

| Appendix: Pr | ovision Findings | |
|--------------|---|-----|
| 115.11 (a) | Zero tolerance of sexual abuse and sexual harassment; PREA coordinator | |
| | Does the agency have a written policy mandating zero tolerance toward all forms of sexual abuse and sexual harassment? | yes |
| | Does the written policy outline the agency's approach to preventing, detecting, and responding to sexual abuse and sexual harassment? | yes |
| 115.11 (b) | Zero tolerance of sexual abuse and sexual harassment; PREA coordinator | |
| | Has the agency employed or designated an agency-wide PREA Coordinator? | yes |
| | Is the PREA Coordinator position in the upper-level of the agency hierarchy? | yes |
| | Does the PREA Coordinator have sufficient time and authority to develop, implement, and oversee agency efforts to comply with the PREA standards in all of its facilities? | yes |
| 115.11 (c) | Zero tolerance of sexual abuse and sexual harassment; PREA coordinator | |
| | If this agency operates more than one facility, has each facility designated a PREA compliance manager? (N/A if agency operates only one facility.) | na |
| | Does the PREA compliance manager have sufficient time and authority to coordinate the facility's efforts to comply with the PREA standards? (N/A if agency operates only one facility.) | na |
| 115.12 (a) | Contracting with other entities for the confinement of inmates | |
| | If this agency is public and it contracts for the confinement of its inmates with private agencies or other entities including other government agencies, has the agency included the entity's obligation to comply with the PREA standards in any new contract or contract renewal signed on or after August 20, 2012? (N/A if the agency does not contract with private agencies or other entities for the confinement of inmates.) | na |
| 115.12 (b) | Contracting with other entities for the confinement of inmates | |
| | Does any new contract or contract renewal signed on or after August 20, 2012 provide for agency contract monitoring to ensure that the contractor is complying with the PREA standards? (N/A if the agency does not contract with private agencies or other entities for the confinement of inmates.) | na |

| 115.13 (a) | Supervision and monitoring | |
|------------|---|-----|
| | Does the facility have a documented staffing plan that provides for adequate levels of staffing and, where applicable, video monitoring, to protect inmates against sexual abuse? | yes |
| | In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: Generally accepted detention and correctional practices? | yes |
| | In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: Any judicial findings of inadequacy? | yes |
| | In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: Any findings of inadequacy from Federal investigative agencies? | yes |
| | In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: Any findings of inadequacy from internal or external oversight bodies? | yes |
| | In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: All components of the facility's physical plant (including "blind-spots" or areas where staff or inmates may be isolated)? | yes |
| | In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: The composition of the inmate population? | yes |
| | In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: The number and placement of supervisory staff? | yes |
| | In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: The institution programs occurring on a particular shift? | yes |
| | In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: Any applicable State or local laws, regulations, or standards? | yes |
| | In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: The prevalence of substantiated and unsubstantiated incidents of sexual abuse? | yes |
| | In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: Any other relevant factors? | yes |
| 115.13 (b) | Supervision and monitoring | |
| | In circumstances where the staffing plan is not complied with, does the facility document and justify all deviations from the plan? (N/A if no deviations from staffing plan.) | yes |
| 115.13 (c) | Supervision and monitoring | |
| | In the past 12 months, has the facility, in consultation with the agency PREA Coordinator, assessed, determined, and documented whether adjustments are needed to: The staffing plan established pursuant to paragraph (a) of this section? | yes |
| | In the past 12 months, has the facility, in consultation with the agency PREA Coordinator, assessed, determined, and documented whether adjustments are needed to: The facility's deployment of video monitoring systems and other monitoring technologies? | yes |
| | In the past 12 months, has the facility, in consultation with the agency PREA Coordinator, assessed, determined, and documented whether adjustments are needed to: The resources the facility has available to commit to ensure adherence to the staffing plan? | yes |

| 115.13 (d) | Supervision and monitoring | |
|------------|---|-----|
| | Has the facility/agency implemented a policy and practice of having intermediate-level or higher-level supervisors conduct and document unannounced rounds to identify and deter staff sexual abuse and sexual harassment? | yes |
| | Is this policy and practice implemented for night shifts as well as day shifts? | yes |
| | Does the facility/agency have a policy prohibiting staff from alerting other staff members that these supervisory rounds are occurring, unless such announcement is related to the legitimate operational functions of the facility? | yes |
| 115.14 (a) | Youthful inmates | |
| | Does the facility place all youthful inmates in housing units that separate them from sight, sound, and physical contact with any adult inmates through use of a shared dayroom or other common space, shower area, or sleeping quarters? (N/A if facility does not have youthful inmates (inmates <18 years old).) | na |
| 115.14 (b) | Youthful inmates | |
| | In areas outside of housing units does the agency maintain sight and sound separation between youthful inmates and adult inmates? (N/A if facility does not have youthful inmates (inmates <18 years old).) | na |
| | In areas outside of housing units does the agency provide direct staff supervision when youthful inmates and adult inmates have sight, sound, or physical contact? (N/A if facility does not have youthful inmates (inmates <18 years old).) | na |
| 115.14 (c) | Youthful inmates | |
| | Does the agency make its best efforts to avoid placing youthful inmates in isolation to comply with this provision? (N/A if facility does not have youthful inmates (inmates <18 years old).) | na |
| | Does the agency, while complying with this provision, allow youthful inmates daily large-muscle exercise and legally required special education services, except in exigent circumstances? (N/A if facility does not have youthful inmates (inmates <18 years old).) | na |
| | Do youthful inmates have access to other programs and work opportunities to the extent possible? (N/A if facility does not have youthful inmates (inmates <18 years old).) | na |
| 115.15 (a) | Limits to cross-gender viewing and searches | |
| | Does the facility always refrain from conducting any cross-gender strip or cross-gender visual body cavity searches, except in exigent circumstances or by medical practitioners? | yes |
| 115.15 (b) | Limits to cross-gender viewing and searches | |
| | Does the facility always refrain from conducting cross-gender pat-down searches of female inmates, except in exigent circumstances? (N/A if the facility does not have female inmates.) | yes |
| | Does the facility always refrain from restricting female inmates' access to regularly available programming or other out-of-cell opportunities in order to comply with this provision? (N/A if the facility does not have female inmates.) | yes |
| 115.15 (c) | Limits to cross-gender viewing and searches | |
| | Does the facility document all cross-gender strip searches and cross-gender visual body cavity searches? | yes |
| | Does the facility document all cross-gender pat-down searches of female inmates (N/A if the facility does not have female inmates)? | yes |

| 115.15 (d) | Limits to cross-gender viewing and searches | |
|------------|---|-----|
| | Does the facility have policies that enables inmates to shower, perform bodily functions, and change clothing without nonmedical staff of the opposite gender viewing their breasts, buttocks, or genitalia, except in exigent circumstances or when such viewing is incidental to routine cell checks? | yes |
| | Does the facility have procedures that enables inmates to shower, perform bodily functions, and change clothing without nonmedical staff of the opposite gender viewing their breasts, buttocks, or genitalia, except in exigent circumstances or when such viewing is incidental to routine cell checks? | yes |
| | Does the facility require staff of the opposite gender to announce their presence when entering an inmate housing unit? | yes |
| 115.15 (e) | Limits to cross-gender viewing and searches | |
| | Does the facility always refrain from searching or physically examining transgender or intersex inmates for the sole purpose of determining the inmate's genital status? | yes |
| | If an inmate's genital status is unknown, does the facility determine genital status during conversations with the inmate, by reviewing medical records, or, if necessary, by learning that information as part of a broader medical examination conducted in private by a medical practitioner? | yes |
| 115.15 (f) | Limits to cross-gender viewing and searches | |
| | Does the facility/agency train security staff in how to conduct cross-gender pat down searches in a professional and respectful manner, and in the least intrusive manner possible, consistent with security needs? | yes |
| | Does the facility/agency train security staff in how to conduct searches of transgender and intersex inmates in a professional and respectful manner, and in the least intrusive manner possible, consistent with security needs? | yes |

| 115.16 (a) | Inmates with disabilities and inmates who are limited English proficient | |
|------------|--|-----|
| | Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that inmates with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: inmates who are deaf or hard of hearing? | yes |
| | Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that inmates with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: inmates who are blind or have low vision? | yes |
| | Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that inmates with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: inmates who have intellectual disabilities? | yes |
| | Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that inmates with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: inmates who have psychiatric disabilities? | yes |
| | Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that inmates with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: inmates who have speech disabilities? | yes |
| | Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that inmates with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: Other (if "other," please explain in overall determination notes.) | yes |
| | Do such steps include, when necessary, ensuring effective communication with inmates who are deaf or hard of hearing? | yes |
| | Do such steps include, when necessary, providing access to interpreters who can interpret effectively, accurately, and impartially, both receptively and expressively, using any necessary specialized vocabulary? | yes |
| | Does the agency ensure that written materials are provided in formats or through methods that ensure effective communication with inmates with disabilities including inmates who: Have intellectual disabilities? | yes |
| | Does the agency ensure that written materials are provided in formats or through methods that ensure effective communication with inmates with disabilities including inmates who: Have limited reading skills? | yes |
| | Does the agency ensure that written materials are provided in formats or through methods that ensure effective communication with inmates with disabilities including inmates who: are blind or have low vision? | yes |
| 115.16 (b) | Inmates with disabilities and inmates who are limited English proficient | |
| | Does the agency take reasonable steps to ensure meaningful access to all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment to inmates who are limited English proficient? | yes |
| | Do these steps include providing interpreters who can interpret effectively, accurately, and impartially, both receptively and expressively, using any necessary specialized vocabulary? | yes |

| Inmates with disabilities and inmates who are limited English proficient | | |
|--|---|-----|
| | Does the agency always refrain from relying on inmate interpreters, inmate readers, or other types of inmate assistance except in limited circumstances where an extended delay in obtaining an effective interpreter could compromise the inmate's safety, the performance of first-response duties under §115.64, or the investigation of the inmate's allegations? | yes |
| 115.17 (a) | Hiring and promotion decisions | |
| | Does the agency prohibit the hiring or promotion of anyone who may have contact with inmates who has engaged in sexual abuse in a prison, jail, lockup, community confinement facility, juvenile facility, or other institution (as defined in 42 U.S.C. 1997)? | yes |
| | Does the agency prohibit the hiring or promotion of anyone who may have contact with inmates who has been convicted of engaging or attempting to engage in sexual activity in the community facilitated by force, overt or implied threats of force, or coercion, or if the victim did not consent or was unable to consent or refuse? | yes |
| | Does the agency prohibit the hiring or promotion of anyone who may have contact with inmates who has been civilly or administratively adjudicated to have engaged in the activity described in the two bullets immediately above? | yes |
| | Does the agency prohibit the enlistment of services of any contractor who may have contact with inmates who has engaged in sexual abuse in a prison, jail, lockup, community confinement facility, juvenile facility, or other institution (as defined in 42 U.S.C. 1997)? | yes |
| | Does the agency prohibit the enlistment of services of any contractor who may have contact with inmates who has been convicted of engaging or attempting to engage in sexual activity in the community facilitated by force, overt or implied threats of force, or coercion, or if the victim did not consent or was unable to consent or refuse? | yes |
| | Does the agency prohibit the enlistment of services of any contractor who may have contact with inmates who has been civilly or administratively adjudicated to have engaged in the activity described in the two bullets immediately above? | yes |
| 115.17 (b) | Hiring and promotion decisions | |
| | Does the agency consider any incidents of sexual harassment in determining whether to hire or promote anyone who may have contact with inmates? | yes |
| | Does the agency consider any incidents of sexual harassment in determining whether to enlist the services of any contractor who may have contact with inmates? | yes |
| 115.17 (c) | Hiring and promotion decisions | |
| | Before hiring new employees who may have contact with inmates, does the agency perform a criminal background records check? | yes |
| | Before hiring new employees who may have contact with inmates, does the agency, consistent with Federal, State, and local law, make its best efforts to contact all prior institutional employers for information on substantiated allegations of sexual abuse or any resignation during a pending investigation of an allegation of sexual abuse? | yes |
| 115.17 (d) | Hiring and promotion decisions | |
| | Does the agency perform a criminal background records check before enlisting the services of any contractor who may have contact with inmates? | yes |
| 115.17 (e) | Hiring and promotion decisions | |
| | Does the agency either conduct criminal background records checks at least every five years of current employees and contractors who may have contact with inmates or have in place a system for otherwise capturing such information for current employees? | yes |

| 115.17 (f) | Hiring and promotion decisions | |
|------------|--|-----|
| | Does the agency ask all applicants and employees who may have contact with inmates directly about previous misconduct described in paragraph (a) of this section in written applications or interviews for hiring or promotions? | yes |
| | Does the agency ask all applicants and employees who may have contact with inmates directly about previous misconduct described in paragraph (a) of this section in any interviews or written self-evaluations conducted as part of reviews of current employees? | yes |
| | Does the agency impose upon employees a continuing affirmative duty to disclose any such misconduct? | yes |
| 115.17 (g) | Hiring and promotion decisions | |
| | Does the agency consider material omissions regarding such misconduct, or the provision of materially false information, grounds for termination? | yes |
| 115.17 (h) | Hiring and promotion decisions | |
| | Does the agency provide information on substantiated allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment involving a former employee upon receiving a request from an institutional employer for whom such employee has applied to work? (N/A if providing information on substantiated allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment involving a former employee is prohibited by law.) | yes |
| 115.18 (a) | Upgrades to facilities and technologies | |
| | If the agency designed or acquired any new facility or planned any substantial expansion or modification of existing facilities, did the agency consider the effect of the design, acquisition, expansion, or modification upon the agency's ability to protect inmates from sexual abuse? (N/A if agency/facility has not acquired a new facility or made a substantial expansion to existing facilities since August 20, 2012, or since the last PREA audit, whichever is later.) | yes |
| 115.18 (b) | Upgrades to facilities and technologies | |
| | If the agency installed or updated a video monitoring system, electronic surveillance system, or other monitoring technology, did the agency consider how such technology may enhance the agency's ability to protect inmates from sexual abuse? (N/A if agency/facility has not installed or updated a video monitoring system, electronic surveillance system, or other monitoring technology since August 20, 2012, or since the last PREA audit, whichever is later.) | yes |
| 115.21 (a) | Evidence protocol and forensic medical examinations | |
| | If the agency is responsible for investigating allegations of sexual abuse, does the agency follow a uniform evidence protocol that maximizes the potential for obtaining usable physical evidence for administrative proceedings and criminal prosecutions? (N/A if the agency/facility is not responsible for conducting any form of criminal OR administrative sexual abuse investigations.) | yes |
| 115.21 (b) | Evidence protocol and forensic medical examinations | |
| | Is this protocol developmentally appropriate for youth where applicable? (N/A if the agency/facility is not responsible for conducting any form of criminal OR administrative sexual abuse investigations.) | yes |
| | Is this protocol, as appropriate, adapted from or otherwise based on the most recent edition of the U.S. Department of Justice's Office on Violence Against Women publication, "A National Protocol for Sexual Assault Medical Forensic Examinations, Adults/Adolescents," or similarly comprehensive and authoritative protocols developed after 2011? (N/A if the agency/facility is not responsible for conducting any form of criminal OR administrative sexual abuse investigations.) | yes |

| 115.21 (c) | Evidence protocol and forensic medical examinations | |
|------------|---|-----|
| | Does the agency offer all victims of sexual abuse access to forensic medical examinations, whether on-site or at an outside facility, without financial cost, where evidentiarily or medically appropriate? | yes |
| | Are such examinations performed by Sexual Assault Forensic Examiners (SAFEs) or Sexual Assault Nurse Examiners (SANEs) where possible? | yes |
| | If SAFEs or SANEs cannot be made available, is the examination performed by other qualified medical practitioners (they must have been specifically trained to conduct sexual assault forensic exams)? | yes |
| | Has the agency documented its efforts to provide SAFEs or SANEs? | yes |
| 115.21 (d) | Evidence protocol and forensic medical examinations | |
| | Does the agency attempt to make available to the victim a victim advocate from a rape crisis center? | yes |
| | If a rape crisis center is not available to provide victim advocate services, does the agency make available to provide these services a qualified staff member from a community-based organization, or a qualified agency staff member? (N/A if the agency always makes a victim advocate from a rape crisis center available to victims.) | na |
| | Has the agency documented its efforts to secure services from rape crisis centers? | yes |
| 115.21 (e) | Evidence protocol and forensic medical examinations | |
| | As requested by the victim, does the victim advocate, qualified agency staff member, or qualified community-based organization staff member accompany and support the victim through the forensic medical examination process and investigatory interviews? | yes |
| | As requested by the victim, does this person provide emotional support, crisis intervention, information, and referrals? | yes |
| 115.21 (f) | Evidence protocol and forensic medical examinations | |
| | If the agency itself is not responsible for investigating allegations of sexual abuse, has the agency requested that the investigating agency follow the requirements of paragraphs (a) through (e) of this section? (N/A if the agency/facility is responsible for conducting criminal AND administrative sexual abuse investigations.) | na |
| 115.21 (h) | Evidence protocol and forensic medical examinations | |
| | If the agency uses a qualified agency staff member or a qualified community-based staff member for the purposes of this section, has the individual been screened for appropriateness to serve in this role and received education concerning sexual assault and forensic examination issues in general? (N/A if agency always makes a victim advocate from a rape crisis center available to victims.) | na |
| 115.22 (a) | Policies to ensure referrals of allegations for investigations | |
| | Does the agency ensure an administrative or criminal investigation is completed for all allegations of sexual abuse? | yes |
| | Does the agency ensure an administrative or criminal investigation is completed for all allegations of sexual harassment? | yes |

| Policies to ensure referrals of allegations for investigations | |
|--|--|
| Does the agency have a policy and practice in place to ensure that allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment are referred for investigation to an agency with the legal authority to conduct criminal investigations, unless the allegation does not involve potentially criminal behavior? | yes |
| Has the agency published such policy on its website or, if it does not have one, made the policy available through other means? | yes |
| Does the agency document all such referrals? | yes |
| Policies to ensure referrals of allegations for investigations | |
| If a separate entity is responsible for conducting criminal investigations, does the policy describe the responsibilities of both the agency and the investigating entity? (N/A if the agency/facility is responsible for criminal investigations. See 115.21(a).) | na |
| Employee training | |
| Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on its zero-tolerance policy for sexual abuse and sexual harassment? | yes |
| Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on how to fulfill their responsibilities under agency sexual abuse and sexual harassment prevention, detection, reporting, and response policies and procedures? | yes |
| Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on inmates' right to be free from sexual abuse and sexual harassment | yes |
| Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on the right of inmates and employees to be free from retaliation for reporting sexual abuse and sexual harassment? | yes |
| Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on the dynamics of sexual abuse and sexual harassment in confinement? | yes |
| Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on the common reactions of sexual abuse and sexual harassment victims? | yes |
| Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on how to detect and respond to signs of threatened and actual sexual abuse? | yes |
| Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on how to avoid inappropriate relationships with inmates? | yes |
| Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on how to communicate effectively and professionally with inmates, including lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, intersex, or gender nonconforming inmates? | yes |
| Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on how to comply with relevant laws related to mandatory reporting of sexual abuse to outside authorities? | yes |
| Employee training | |
| Is such training tailored to the gender of the inmates at the employee's facility? | yes |
| Have employees received additional training if reassigned from a facility that houses only male inmates to a facility that houses only female inmates, or vice versa? | yes |
| | Does the agency have a policy and practice in place to ensure that allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment are referred for investigation to an agency with the legal authority to conduct criminal investigations, unless the allegation does not involve potentially criminal behavior? Has the agency published such policy on its website or, if it does not have one, made the policy available through other means? Does the agency document all such referrals? Policies to ensure referrals of allegations for investigations If a separate entity is responsible for conducting criminal investigations, does the policy describe the responsibilities of both the agency and the investigating entity? (N/A if the agency/facility is responsible for criminal investigating entity? (N/A if the agency/facility is responsible for criminal investigations. See 115.21(a).) Employee training Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on its zero-tolerance policy for sexual abuse and sexual harassment? Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on how to fulfill their responsibilities under agency sexual abuse and sexual harassment prevention, detection, reporting, and response policies and procedures? Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on the right of inmates and employees to be free from retallation for reporting sexual abuse and sexual harassment? Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on the dynamics of sexual abuse and sexual harassment in confinement? Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on the dynamics of sexual abuse and sexual harassment in confinement? Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on how to detect and respond to signs of threatened and actual sexual abuse? Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on how to communicate effectively and professionally with inmates, including lesbian, gay, bisexual |

| 115.31 (c) | Employee training | |
|------------|---|-----|
| | Have all current employees who may have contact with inmates received such training? | yes |
| | Does the agency provide each employee with refresher training every two years to ensure that all employees know the agency's current sexual abuse and sexual harassment policies and procedures? | yes |
| | In years in which an employee does not receive refresher training, does the agency provide refresher information on current sexual abuse and sexual harassment policies? | yes |
| 115.31 (d) | Employee training | |
| | Does the agency document, through employee signature or electronic verification, that employees understand the training they have received? | yes |
| 115.32 (a) | Volunteer and contractor training | |
| | Has the agency ensured that all volunteers and contractors who have contact with inmates have been trained on their responsibilities under the agency's sexual abuse and sexual harassment prevention, detection, and response policies and procedures? | yes |
| 115.32 (b) | Volunteer and contractor training | |
| | Have all volunteers and contractors who have contact with inmates been notified of the agency's zero-tolerance policy regarding sexual abuse and sexual harassment and informed how to report such incidents (the level and type of training provided to volunteers and contractors shall be based on the services they provide and level of contact they have with inmates)? | yes |
| 115.32 (c) | Volunteer and contractor training | |
| | Does the agency maintain documentation confirming that volunteers and contractors understand the training they have received? | yes |
| 115.33 (a) | Inmate education | |
| | During intake, do inmates receive information explaining the agency's zero-tolerance policy regarding sexual abuse and sexual harassment? | yes |
| | During intake, do inmates receive information explaining how to report incidents or suspicions of sexual abuse or sexual harassment? | yes |
| 115.33 (b) | Inmate education | |
| | Within 30 days of intake, does the agency provide comprehensive education to inmates either in person or through video regarding: Their rights to be free from sexual abuse and sexual harassment? | yes |
| | Within 30 days of intake, does the agency provide comprehensive education to inmates either in person or through video regarding: Their rights to be free from retaliation for reporting such incidents? | yes |
| | Within 30 days of intake, does the agency provide comprehensive education to inmates either in person or through video regarding: Agency policies and procedures for responding to such incidents? | yes |
| 115.33 (c) | Inmate education | |
| | Have all inmates received the comprehensive education referenced in 115.33(b)? | yes |
| | Do inmates receive education upon transfer to a different facility to the extent that the policies and procedures of the inmate's new facility differ from those of the previous facility? | yes |

| 115.33 (d) | Inmate education | |
|------------|---|-----|
| | Does the agency provide inmate education in formats accessible to all inmates including those who are limited English proficient? | yes |
| | Does the agency provide inmate education in formats accessible to all inmates including those who are deaf? | yes |
| | Does the agency provide inmate education in formats accessible to all inmates including those who are visually impaired? | yes |
| | Does the agency provide inmate education in formats accessible to all inmates including those who are otherwise disabled? | yes |
| | Does the agency provide inmate education in formats accessible to all inmates including those who have limited reading skills? | yes |
| 115.33 (e) | Inmate education | |
| | Does the agency maintain documentation of inmate participation in these education sessions? | yes |
| 115.33 (f) | Inmate education | |
| | In addition to providing such education, does the agency ensure that key information is continuously and readily available or visible to inmates through posters, inmate handbooks, or other written formats? | yes |
| 115.34 (a) | Specialized training: Investigations | |
| | In addition to the general training provided to all employees pursuant to §115.31, does the agency ensure that, to the extent the agency itself conducts sexual abuse investigations, its investigators receive training in conducting such investigations in confinement settings? (N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a).) | yes |
| 115.34 (b) | Specialized training: Investigations | |
| | Does this specialized training include techniques for interviewing sexual abuse victims? (N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a).) | yes |
| | Does this specialized training include proper use of Miranda and Garrity warnings? (N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a).) | yes |
| | Does this specialized training include sexual abuse evidence collection in confinement settings? (N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a).) | yes |
| | Does this specialized training include the criteria and evidence required to substantiate a case for administrative action or prosecution referral? (N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a).) | yes |
| 115.34 (c) | Specialized training: Investigations | |
| | Does the agency maintain documentation that agency investigators have completed the required specialized training in conducting sexual abuse investigations? (N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a).) | yes |

| 115.35 (a) | Specialized training: Medical and mental health care | |
|------------|---|-----|
| | Does the agency ensure that all full- and part-time medical and mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities have been trained in how to detect and assess signs of sexual abuse and sexual harassment? (N/A if the agency does not have any full- or part-time medical or mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities.) | yes |
| | Does the agency ensure that all full- and part-time medical and mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities have been trained in how to preserve physical evidence of sexual abuse? (N/A if the agency does not have any full- or part-time medical or mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities.) | yes |
| | Does the agency ensure that all full- and part-time medical and mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities have been trained in how to respond effectively and professionally to victims of sexual abuse and sexual harassment? (N/A if the agency does not have any full- or part-time medical or mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities.) | yes |
| | Does the agency ensure that all full- and part-time medical and mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities have been trained in how and to whom to report allegations or suspicions of sexual abuse and sexual harassment? (N/A if the agency does not have any full- or part-time medical or mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities.) | yes |
| 115.35 (b) | Specialized training: Medical and mental health care | |
| | If medical staff employed by the agency conduct forensic examinations, do such medical staff receive appropriate training to conduct such examinations? (N/A if agency medical staff at the facility do not conduct forensic exams or the agency does not employ medical staff.) | na |
| 115.35 (c) | Specialized training: Medical and mental health care | |
| | Does the agency maintain documentation that medical and mental health practitioners have received the training referenced in this standard either from the agency or elsewhere? (N/A if the agency does not have any full- or part-time medical or mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities.) | yes |
| 115.35 (d) | Specialized training: Medical and mental health care | |
| | Do medical and mental health care practitioners employed by the agency also receive training mandated for employees by §115.31? (N/A if the agency does not have any full- or part-time medical or mental health care practitioners employed by the agency.) | yes |
| | Do medical and mental health care practitioners contracted by or volunteering for the agency also receive training mandated for contractors and volunteers by §115.32? (N/A if the agency does not have any full- or part-time medical or mental health care practitioners contracted by or volunteering for the agency.) | yes |
| 115.41 (a) | Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness | |
| | Are all inmates assessed during an intake screening for their risk of being sexually abused by other inmates or sexually abusive toward other inmates? | yes |
| | Are all inmates assessed upon transfer to another facility for their risk of being sexually abused by other inmates or sexually abusive toward other inmates? | yes |
| 115.41 (b) | Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness | |
| | Do intake screenings ordinarily take place within 72 hours of arrival at the facility? | yes |
| 115.41 (c) | Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness | |
| | Are all PREA screening assessments conducted using an objective screening instrument? | yes |

| 115.41 (d) | Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness | |
|------------|--|-----|
| | Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (1) Whether the inmate has a mental, physical, or developmental disability? | yes |
| | Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (2) The age of the inmate? | yes |
| | Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (3) The physical build of the inmate? | yes |
| | Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (4) Whether the inmate has previously been incarcerated? | yes |
| | Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (5) Whether the inmate's criminal history is exclusively nonviolent? | yes |
| | Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (6) Whether the inmate has prior convictions for sex offenses against an adult or child? | yes |
| | Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (7) Whether the inmate is or is perceived to be gay, lesbian, bisexual, transgender, intersex, or gender nonconforming (the facility affirmatively asks the inmate about his/her sexual orientation and gender identity AND makes a subjective determination based on the screener's perception whether the inmate is gender non-conforming or otherwise may be perceived to be LGBTI)? | yes |
| | Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (8) Whether the inmate has previously experienced sexual victimization? | yes |
| | Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (9) The inmate's own perception of vulnerability? | yes |
| | Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (10) Whether the inmate is detained solely for civil immigration purposes? | yes |
| 115.41 (e) | Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness | |
| | In assessing inmates for risk of being sexually abusive, does the initial PREA risk screening consider, as known to the agency: prior acts of sexual abuse? | yes |
| | In assessing inmates for risk of being sexually abusive, does the initial PREA risk screening consider, as known to the agency: prior convictions for violent offenses? | yes |
| | In assessing inmates for risk of being sexually abusive, does the initial PREA risk screening consider, as known to the agency: history of prior institutional violence or sexual abuse? | yes |
| 115.41 (f) | Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness | |
| | Within a set time period not more than 30 days from the inmate's arrival at the facility, does the facility reassess the inmate's risk of victimization or abusiveness based upon any additional, relevant information received by the facility since the intake screening? | yes |

| 115.41 (g) | Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness | |
|------------|--|-----|
| | Does the facility reassess an inmate's risk level when warranted due to a referral? | yes |
| | Does the facility reassess an inmate's risk level when warranted due to a request? | yes |
| | Does the facility reassess an inmate's risk level when warranted due to an incident of sexual abuse? | yes |
| | Does the facility reassess an inmate's risk level when warranted due to receipt of additional information that bears on the inmate's risk of sexual victimization or abusiveness? | yes |
| 115.41 (h) | Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness | |
| | Is it the case that inmates are not ever disciplined for refusing to answer, or for not disclosing complete information in response to, questions asked pursuant to paragraphs (d)(1), (d)(7), (d) (8), or (d)(9) of this section? | yes |
| 115.41 (i) | Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness | |
| | Has the agency implemented appropriate controls on the dissemination within the facility of responses to questions asked pursuant to this standard in order to ensure that sensitive information is not exploited to the inmate's detriment by staff or other inmates? | yes |
| 115.42 (a) | Use of screening information | |
| | Does the agency use information from the risk screening required by § 115.41, with the goal of keeping separate those inmates at high risk of being sexually victimized from those at high risk of being sexually abusive, to inform: Housing Assignments? | yes |
| | Does the agency use information from the risk screening required by § 115.41, with the goal of keeping separate those inmates at high risk of being sexually victimized from those at high risk of being sexually abusive, to inform: Bed assignments? | yes |
| | Does the agency use information from the risk screening required by § 115.41, with the goal of keeping separate those inmates at high risk of being sexually victimized from those at high risk of being sexually abusive, to inform: Work Assignments? | yes |
| | Does the agency use information from the risk screening required by § 115.41, with the goal of keeping separate those inmates at high risk of being sexually victimized from those at high risk of being sexually abusive, to inform: Education Assignments? | yes |
| | Does the agency use information from the risk screening required by § 115.41, with the goal of keeping separate those inmates at high risk of being sexually victimized from those at high risk of being sexually abusive, to inform: Program Assignments? | yes |
| 115.42 (b) | Use of screening information | |
| | Does the agency make individualized determinations about how to ensure the safety of each inmate? | yes |
| 115.42 (c) | Use of screening information | |
| | When deciding whether to assign a transgender or intersex inmate to a facility for male or female inmates, does the agency consider, on a case-by-case basis, whether a placement would ensure the inmate's health and safety, and whether a placement would present management or security problems (NOTE: if an agency by policy or practice assigns inmates to a male or female facility on the basis of anatomy alone, that agency is not in compliance with this standard)? | yes |
| | When making housing or other program assignments for transgender or intersex inmates, does the agency consider, on a case-by-case basis, whether a placement would ensure the inmate's health and safety, and whether a placement would present management or security problems? | yes |

| 115.42 (d) | Use of screening information | |
|------------|--|-----|
| | Are placement and programming assignments for each transgender or intersex inmate reassessed at least twice each year to review any threats to safety experienced by the inmate? | yes |
| 115.42 (e) | Use of screening information | |
| | Are each transgender or intersex inmate's own views with respect to his or her own safety given serious consideration when making facility and housing placement decisions and programming assignments? | yes |
| 115.42 (f) | Use of screening information | |
| | Are transgender and intersex inmates given the opportunity to shower separately from other inmates? | yes |
| 115.42 (g) | Use of screening information | |
| | Unless placement is in a dedicated facility, unit, or wing established in connection with a consent decree, legal settlement, or legal judgment for the purpose of protecting lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, or intersex inmates, does the agency always refrain from placing: lesbian, gay, and bisexual inmates in dedicated facilities, units, or wings solely on the basis of such identification or status? (N/A if the agency has a dedicated facility, unit, or wing solely for the placement of LGBT or I inmates pursuant to a consent degree, legal settlement, or legal judgement.) | yes |
| | Unless placement is in a dedicated facility, unit, or wing established in connection with a consent decree, legal settlement, or legal judgment for the purpose of protecting lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, or intersex inmates, does the agency always refrain from placing: transgender inmates in dedicated facilities, units, or wings solely on the basis of such identification or status? (N/A if the agency has a dedicated facility, unit, or wing solely for the placement of LGBT or I inmates pursuant to a consent degree, legal settlement, or legal judgement.) | yes |
| | Unless placement is in a dedicated facility, unit, or wing established in connection with a consent decree, legal settlement, or legal judgment for the purpose of protecting lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, or intersex inmates, does the agency always refrain from placing: intersex inmates in dedicated facilities, units, or wings solely on the basis of such identification or status? (N/A if the agency has a dedicated facility, unit, or wing solely for the placement of LGBT or I inmates pursuant to a consent degree, legal settlement, or legal judgement.) | yes |
| 115.43 (a) | Protective Custody | |
| | Does the facility always refrain from placing inmates at high risk for sexual victimization in involuntary segregated housing unless an assessment of all available alternatives has been made, and a determination has been made that there is no available alternative means of separation from likely abusers? | yes |
| | If a facility cannot conduct such an assessment immediately, does the facility hold the inmate in involuntary segregated housing for less than 24 hours while completing the assessment? | yes |

| 115.43 (b) | Protective Custody | |
|------------|--|-----|
| | Do inmates who are placed in segregated housing because they are at high risk of sexual victimization have access to: Programs to the extent possible? | yes |
| | Do inmates who are placed in segregated housing because they are at high risk of sexual victimization have access to: Privileges to the extent possible? | yes |
| | Do inmates who are placed in segregated housing because they are at high risk of sexual victimization have access to: Education to the extent possible? | yes |
| | Do inmates who are placed in segregated housing because they are at high risk of sexual victimization have access to: Work opportunities to the extent possible? | yes |
| | If the facility restricts any access to programs, privileges, education, or work opportunities, does the facility document the opportunities that have been limited? (N/A if the facility never restricts access to programs, privileges, education, or work opportunities.) | yes |
| | If the facility restricts access to programs, privileges, education, or work opportunities, does the facility document the duration of the limitation? (N/A if the facility never restricts access to programs, privileges, education, or work opportunities.) | yes |
| | If the facility restricts access to programs, privileges, education, or work opportunities, does the facility document the reasons for such limitations? (N/A if the facility never restricts access to programs, privileges, education, or work opportunities.) | yes |
| 115.43 (c) | Protective Custody | |
| | Does the facility assign inmates at high risk of sexual victimization to involuntary segregated housing only until an alternative means of separation from likely abusers can be arranged? | yes |
| | Does such an assignment not ordinarily exceed a period of 30 days? | yes |
| 115.43 (d) | Protective Custody | |
| | If an involuntary segregated housing assignment is made pursuant to paragraph (a) of this section, does the facility clearly document: The basis for the facility's concern for the inmate's safety? | yes |
| | If an involuntary segregated housing assignment is made pursuant to paragraph (a) of this section, does the facility clearly document: The reason why no alternative means of separation can be arranged? | yes |
| 115.43 (e) | Protective Custody | |
| | In the case of each inmate who is placed in involuntary segregation because he/she is at high risk of sexual victimization, does the facility afford a review to determine whether there is a continuing need for separation from the general population EVERY 30 DAYS? | yes |
| 115.51 (a) | Inmate reporting | |
| | Does the agency provide multiple internal ways for inmates to privately report: Sexual abuse and sexual harassment? | yes |
| | Does the agency provide multiple internal ways for inmates to privately report: Retaliation by other inmates or staff for reporting sexual abuse and sexual harassment? | yes |
| | Does the agency provide multiple internal ways for inmates to privately report: Staff neglect or violation of responsibilities that may have contributed to such incidents? | yes |
| | • | |

| 115.51 (b) | Inmate reporting | |
|------------|---|-----|
| | Does the agency also provide at least one way for inmates to report sexual abuse or sexual harassment to a public or private entity or office that is not part of the agency? | yes |
| | Is that private entity or office able to receive and immediately forward inmate reports of sexual abuse and sexual harassment to agency officials? | yes |
| | Does that private entity or office allow the inmate to remain anonymous upon request? | yes |
| | Are inmates detained solely for civil immigration purposes provided information on how to contact relevant consular officials and relevant officials at the Department of Homeland Security? (N/A if the facility never houses inmates detained solely for civil immigration purposes.) | yes |
| 115.51 (c) | Inmate reporting | |
| | Does staff accept reports of sexual abuse and sexual harassment made verbally, in writing, anonymously, and from third parties? | yes |
| | Does staff promptly document any verbal reports of sexual abuse and sexual harassment? | yes |
| 115.51 (d) | Inmate reporting | |
| | Does the agency provide a method for staff to privately report sexual abuse and sexual harassment of inmates? | yes |
| 115.52 (a) | Exhaustion of administrative remedies | |
| | Is the agency exempt from this standard? NOTE: The agency is exempt ONLY if it does not have administrative procedures to address inmate grievances regarding sexual abuse. This does not mean the agency is exempt simply because an inmate does not have to or is not ordinarily expected to submit a grievance to report sexual abuse. This means that as a matter of explicit policy, the agency does not have an administrative remedies process to address sexual abuse. | no |
| 115.52 (b) | Exhaustion of administrative remedies | |
| | Does the agency permit inmates to submit a grievance regarding an allegation of sexual abuse without any type of time limits? (The agency may apply otherwise-applicable time limits to any portion of a grievance that does not allege an incident of sexual abuse.) (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) | yes |
| | Does the agency always refrain from requiring an inmate to use any informal grievance process, or to otherwise attempt to resolve with staff, an alleged incident of sexual abuse? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) | yes |
| 115.52 (c) | Exhaustion of administrative remedies | |
| | Does the agency ensure that: An inmate who alleges sexual abuse may submit a grievance without submitting it to a staff member who is the subject of the complaint? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) | yes |
| | Does the agency ensure that: Such grievance is not referred to a staff member who is the subject of the complaint? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) | yes |

| 115.52 (d) | Exhaustion of administrative remedies | |
|------------|--|-----|
| | Does the agency issue a final agency decision on the merits of any portion of a grievance alleging sexual abuse within 90 days of the initial filing of the grievance? (Computation of the 90-day time period does not include time consumed by inmates in preparing any administrative appeal.) (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) | yes |
| | If the agency claims the maximum allowable extension of time to respond of up to 70 days per 115.52(d)(3) when the normal time period for response is insufficient to make an appropriate decision, does the agency notify the inmate in writing of any such extension and provide a date by which a decision will be made? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) | yes |
| | At any level of the administrative process, including the final level, if the inmate does not receive a response within the time allotted for reply, including any properly noticed extension, may an inmate consider the absence of a response to be a denial at that level? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) | yes |
| 115.52 (e) | Exhaustion of administrative remedies | |
| | Are third parties, including fellow inmates, staff members, family members, attorneys, and outside advocates, permitted to assist inmates in filing requests for administrative remedies relating to allegations of sexual abuse? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) | yes |
| | Are those third parties also permitted to file such requests on behalf of inmates? (If a third party files such a request on behalf of an inmate, the facility may require as a condition of processing the request that the alleged victim agree to have the request filed on his or her behalf, and may also require the alleged victim to personally pursue any subsequent steps in the administrative remedy process.) (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) | yes |
| | If the inmate declines to have the request processed on his or her behalf, does the agency document the inmate's decision? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) | yes |
| 115.52 (f) | Exhaustion of administrative remedies | |
| | Has the agency established procedures for the filing of an emergency grievance alleging that an inmate is subject to a substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) | yes |
| | After receiving an emergency grievance alleging an inmate is subject to a substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse, does the agency immediately forward the grievance (or any portion thereof that alleges the substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse) to a level of review at which immediate corrective action may be taken? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.). | yes |
| | After receiving an emergency grievance described above, does the agency provide an initial response within 48 hours? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) | yes |
| | After receiving an emergency grievance described above, does the agency issue a final agency decision within 5 calendar days? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) | yes |
| | Does the initial response and final agency decision document the agency's determination whether the inmate is in substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) | yes |
| | Does the initial response document the agency's action(s) taken in response to the emergency grievance? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) | yes |
| | Does the agency's final decision document the agency's action(s) taken in response to the emergency grievance? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) | yes |
| 115.52 (g) | Exhaustion of administrative remedies | |
| | If the agency disciplines an inmate for filing a grievance related to alleged sexual abuse, does it do so ONLY where the agency demonstrates that the inmate filed the grievance in bad faith? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) | yes |

| 115.53 (a) | Inmate access to outside confidential support services | |
|------------|---|-----|
| | Does the facility provide inmates with access to outside victim advocates for emotional support services related to sexual abuse by giving inmates mailing addresses and telephone numbers, including toll-free hotline numbers where available, of local, State, or national victim advocacy or rape crisis organizations? | yes |
| | Does the facility provide persons detained solely for civil immigration purposes mailing addresses and telephone numbers, including toll-free hotline numbers where available of local, State, or national immigrant services agencies? (N/A if the facility never has persons detained solely for civil immigration purposes.) | yes |
| | Does the facility enable reasonable communication between inmates and these organizations and agencies, in as confidential a manner as possible? | yes |
| 115.53 (b) | Inmate access to outside confidential support services | |
| | Does the facility inform inmates, prior to giving them access, of the extent to which such communications will be monitored and the extent to which reports of abuse will be forwarded to authorities in accordance with mandatory reporting laws? | yes |
| 115.53 (c) | Inmate access to outside confidential support services | |
| | Does the agency maintain or attempt to enter into memoranda of understanding or other agreements with community service providers that are able to provide inmates with confidential emotional support services related to sexual abuse? | yes |
| | Does the agency maintain copies of agreements or documentation showing attempts to enter into such agreements? | yes |
| 115.54 (a) | Third-party reporting | |
| | Has the agency established a method to receive third-party reports of sexual abuse and sexual harassment? | yes |
| | Has the agency distributed publicly information on how to report sexual abuse and sexual harassment on behalf of an inmate? | yes |
| 115.61 (a) | Staff and agency reporting duties | |
| | Does the agency require all staff to report immediately and according to agency policy any knowledge, suspicion, or information regarding an incident of sexual abuse or sexual harassment that occurred in a facility, whether or not it is part of the agency? | yes |
| | Does the agency require all staff to report immediately and according to agency policy any knowledge, suspicion, or information regarding retaliation against inmates or staff who reported an incident of sexual abuse or sexual harassment? | yes |
| | Does the agency require all staff to report immediately and according to agency policy any knowledge, suspicion, or information regarding any staff neglect or violation of responsibilities that may have contributed to an incident of sexual abuse or sexual harassment or retaliation? | yes |
| 115.61 (b) | Staff and agency reporting duties | |
| | Apart from reporting to designated supervisors or officials, does staff always refrain from revealing any information related to a sexual abuse report to anyone other than to the extent necessary, as specified in agency policy, to make treatment, investigation, and other security and management decisions? | yes |

| 115.61 (c) | Staff and agency reporting duties | |
|------------|---|-----|
| | Unless otherwise precluded by Federal, State, or local law, are medical and mental health practitioners required to report sexual abuse pursuant to paragraph (a) of this section? | yes |
| | Are medical and mental health practitioners required to inform inmates of the practitioner's duty to report, and the limitations of confidentiality, at the initiation of services? | yes |
| 115.61 (d) | Staff and agency reporting duties | |
| | If the alleged victim is under the age of 18 or considered a vulnerable adult under a State or local vulnerable persons statute, does the agency report the allegation to the designated State or local services agency under applicable mandatory reporting laws? | yes |
| 115.61 (e) | Staff and agency reporting duties | |
| | Does the facility report all allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including third-party and anonymous reports, to the facility's designated investigators? | yes |
| 115.62 (a) | Agency protection duties | |
| | When the agency learns that an inmate is subject to a substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse, does it take immediate action to protect the inmate? | yes |
| 115.63 (a) | Reporting to other confinement facilities | |
| | Upon receiving an allegation that an inmate was sexually abused while confined at another facility, does the head of the facility that received the allegation notify the head of the facility or appropriate office of the agency where the alleged abuse occurred? | yes |
| 115.63 (b) | Reporting to other confinement facilities | |
| | Is such notification provided as soon as possible, but no later than 72 hours after receiving the allegation? | yes |
| 115.63 (c) | Reporting to other confinement facilities | |
| | Does the agency document that it has provided such notification? | yes |
| 115.63 (d) | Reporting to other confinement facilities | |
| | Does the facility head or agency office that receives such notification ensure that the allegation is investigated in accordance with these standards? | yes |
| 115.64 (a) | Staff first responder duties | |
| | Upon learning of an allegation that an inmate was sexually abused, is the first security staff member to respond to the report required to: Separate the alleged victim and abuser? | yes |
| | Upon learning of an allegation that an inmate was sexually abused, is the first security staff member to respond to the report required to: Preserve and protect any crime scene until appropriate steps can be taken to collect any evidence? | yes |
| | Upon learning of an allegation that an inmate was sexually abused, is the first security staff member to respond to the report required to: Request that the alleged victim not take any actions that could destroy physical evidence, including, as appropriate, washing, brushing teeth, changing clothes, urinating, defecating, smoking, drinking, or eating, if the abuse occurred within a time period that still allows for the collection of physical evidence? | yes |
| | Upon learning of an allegation that an inmate was sexually abused, is the first security staff member to respond to the report required to: Ensure that the alleged abuser does not take any actions that could destroy physical evidence, including, as appropriate, washing, brushing teeth, changing clothes, urinating, defecating, smoking, drinking, or eating, if the abuse occurred within a time period that still allows for the collection of physical evidence? | yes |

| 115.64 (b) | Staff first responder duties | |
|------------|---|-----|
| | If the first staff responder is not a security staff member, is the responder required to request that the alleged victim not take any actions that could destroy physical evidence, and then notify security staff? | yes |
| 115.65 (a) | Coordinated response | |
| | Has the facility developed a written institutional plan to coordinate actions among staff first responders, medical and mental health practitioners, investigators, and facility leadership taken in response to an incident of sexual abuse? | yes |
| 115.66 (a) | Preservation of ability to protect inmates from contact with abusers | |
| | Are both the agency and any other governmental entities responsible for collective bargaining on the agency's behalf prohibited from entering into or renewing any collective bargaining agreement or other agreement that limit the agency's ability to remove alleged staff sexual abusers from contact with any inmates pending the outcome of an investigation or of a determination of whether and to what extent discipline is warranted? | no |
| 115.67 (a) | Agency protection against retaliation | |
| | Has the agency established a policy to protect all inmates and staff who report sexual abuse or sexual harassment or cooperate with sexual abuse or sexual harassment investigations from retaliation by other inmates or staff? | yes |
| | Has the agency designated which staff members or departments are charged with monitoring retaliation? | yes |
| 115.67 (b) | Agency protection against retaliation | |
| | Does the agency employ multiple protection measures, such as housing changes or transfers for inmate victims or abusers, removal of alleged staff or inmate abusers from contact with victims, and emotional support services for inmates or staff who fear retaliation for reporting sexual abuse or sexual harassment or for cooperating with investigations? | yes |

| 115.67 (c) | Agency protection against retaliation | |
|------------|---|-----|
| | Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor the conduct and treatment of inmates or staff who reported the sexual abuse to see if there are changes that may suggest possible retaliation by inmates or staff? | yes |
| | Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor the conduct and treatment of inmates who were reported to have suffered sexual abuse to see if there are changes that may suggest possible retaliation by inmates or staff? | yes |
| | Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Act promptly to remedy any such retaliation? | yes |
| | Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor any inmate disciplinary reports? | yes |
| | Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor inmate housing changes? | yes |
| | Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor inmate program changes? | yes |
| | Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor negative performance reviews of staff? | yes |
| | Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor reassignments of staff? | yes |
| | Does the agency continue such monitoring beyond 90 days if the initial monitoring indicates a continuing need? | yes |
| 115.67 (d) | Agency protection against retaliation | |
| | In the case of inmates, does such monitoring also include periodic status checks? | yes |
| 115.67 (e) | Agency protection against retaliation | |
| | If any other individual who cooperates with an investigation expresses a fear of retaliation, does the agency take appropriate measures to protect that individual against retaliation? | yes |
| 115.68 (a) | Post-allegation protective custody | |
| | Is any and all use of segregated housing to protect an inmate who is alleged to have suffered sexual abuse subject to the requirements of § 115.43? | yes |
| 115.71 (a) | Criminal and administrative agency investigations | |
| | When the agency conducts its own investigations into allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment, does it do so promptly, thoroughly, and objectively? (N/A if the agency/facility is not responsible for conducting any form of criminal OR administrative sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a).) | yes |
| | Does the agency conduct such investigations for all allegations, including third party and anonymous reports? (N/A if the agency/facility is not responsible for conducting any form of criminal OR administrative sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a).) | yes |

| 115.71 (b) | Criminal and administrative agency investigations | |
|------------|--|-----|
| | Where sexual abuse is alleged, does the agency use investigators who have received specialized training in sexual abuse investigations as required by 115.34? | yes |
| 115.71 (c) | Criminal and administrative agency investigations | |
| | Do investigators gather and preserve direct and circumstantial evidence, including any available physical and DNA evidence and any available electronic monitoring data? | yes |
| | Do investigators interview alleged victims, suspected perpetrators, and witnesses? | yes |
| | Do investigators review prior reports and complaints of sexual abuse involving the suspected perpetrator? | yes |
| 115.71 (d) | Criminal and administrative agency investigations | |
| | When the quality of evidence appears to support criminal prosecution, does the agency conduct compelled interviews only after consulting with prosecutors as to whether compelled interviews may be an obstacle for subsequent criminal prosecution? | yes |
| 115.71 (e) | Criminal and administrative agency investigations | |
| | Do agency investigators assess the credibility of an alleged victim, suspect, or witness on an individual basis and not on the basis of that individual's status as inmate or staff? | yes |
| | Does the agency investigate allegations of sexual abuse without requiring an inmate who alleges sexual abuse to submit to a polygraph examination or other truth-telling device as a condition for proceeding? | yes |
| 115.71 (f) | Criminal and administrative agency investigations | |
| | Do administrative investigations include an effort to determine whether staff actions or failures to act contributed to the abuse? | yes |
| | Are administrative investigations documented in written reports that include a description of the physical evidence and testimonial evidence, the reasoning behind credibility assessments, and investigative facts and findings? | yes |
| 115.71 (g) | Criminal and administrative agency investigations | |
| | Are criminal investigations documented in a written report that contains a thorough description of the physical, testimonial, and documentary evidence and attaches copies of all documentary evidence where feasible? | yes |
| 115.71 (h) | Criminal and administrative agency investigations | |
| | Are all substantiated allegations of conduct that appears to be criminal referred for prosecution? | yes |
| 115.71 (i) | Criminal and administrative agency investigations | |
| | Does the agency retain all written reports referenced in 115.71(f) and (g) for as long as the alleged abuser is incarcerated or employed by the agency, plus five years? | yes |
| 115.71 (j) | Criminal and administrative agency investigations | |
| | Does the agency ensure that the departure of an alleged abuser or victim from the employment or control of the agency does not provide a basis for terminating an investigation? | yes |
| 115.71 (I) | Criminal and administrative agency investigations | |
| | When an outside entity investigates sexual abuse, does the facility cooperate with outside investigators and endeavor to remain informed about the progress of the investigation? (N/A if an outside agency does not conduct administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a).) | na |

| 115.72 (a) | Evidentiary standard for administrative investigations | |
|------------|--|-----|
| | Is it true that the agency does not impose a standard higher than a preponderance of the evidence in determining whether allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment are substantiated? | yes |
| 115.73 (a) | Reporting to inmates | |
| | Following an investigation into an inmate's allegation that he or she suffered sexual abuse in an agency facility, does the agency inform the inmate as to whether the allegation has been determined to be substantiated, unsubstantiated, or unfounded? | yes |
| 115.73 (b) | Reporting to inmates | |
| | If the agency did not conduct the investigation into an inmate's allegation of sexual abuse in an agency facility, does the agency request the relevant information from the investigative agency in order to inform the inmate? (N/A if the agency/facility is responsible for conducting administrative and criminal investigations.) | yes |
| 115.73 (c) | Reporting to inmates | |
| | Following an inmate's allegation that a staff member has committed sexual abuse against the resident, unless the agency has determined that the allegation is unfounded, or unless the inmate has been released from custody, does the agency subsequently inform the resident whenever: The staff member is no longer posted within the inmate's unit? | yes |
| | Following an inmate's allegation that a staff member has committed sexual abuse against the resident, unless the agency has determined that the allegation is unfounded, or unless the resident has been released from custody, does the agency subsequently inform the resident whenever: The staff member is no longer employed at the facility? | yes |
| | Following an inmate's allegation that a staff member has committed sexual abuse against the resident, unless the agency has determined that the allegation is unfounded, or unless the resident has been released from custody, does the agency subsequently inform the resident whenever: The agency learns that the staff member has been indicted on a charge related to sexual abuse in the facility? | yes |
| | Following an inmate's allegation that a staff member has committed sexual abuse against the resident, unless the agency has determined that the allegation is unfounded, or unless the resident has been released from custody, does the agency subsequently inform the resident whenever: The agency learns that the staff member has been convicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility? | yes |
| 115.73 (d) | Reporting to inmates | |
| | Following an inmate's allegation that he or she has been sexually abused by another inmate, does the agency subsequently inform the alleged victim whenever: The agency learns that the alleged abuser has been indicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility? | yes |
| | Following an inmate's allegation that he or she has been sexually abused by another inmate, does the agency subsequently inform the alleged victim whenever: The agency learns that the alleged abuser has been convicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility? | yes |
| 115.73 (e) | Reporting to inmates | |
| | Does the agency document all such notifications or attempted notifications? | yes |
| 115.76 (a) | Disciplinary sanctions for staff | |
| | Are staff subject to disciplinary sanctions up to and including termination for violating agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies? | yes |
| 115.76 (b) | Disciplinary sanctions for staff | |
| | Is termination the presumptive disciplinary sanction for staff who have engaged in sexual abuse? | yes |

| 115.76 (c) | Disciplinary sanctions for staff | |
|------------|---|-----|
| | Are disciplinary sanctions for violations of agency policies relating to sexual abuse or sexual harassment (other than actually engaging in sexual abuse) commensurate with the nature and circumstances of the acts committed, the staff member's disciplinary history, and the sanctions imposed for comparable offenses by other staff with similar histories? | yes |
| 115.76 (d) | Disciplinary sanctions for staff | |
| | Are all terminations for violations of agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies, or resignations by staff who would have been terminated if not for their resignation, reported to: Law enforcement agencies(unless the activity was clearly not criminal)? | yes |
| | Are all terminations for violations of agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies, or resignations by staff who would have been terminated if not for their resignation, reported to: Relevant licensing bodies? | yes |
| 115.77 (a) | Corrective action for contractors and volunteers | |
| | Is any contractor or volunteer who engages in sexual abuse prohibited from contact with inmates? | yes |
| | Is any contractor or volunteer who engages in sexual abuse reported to: Law enforcement agencies (unless the activity was clearly not criminal)? | yes |
| | Is any contractor or volunteer who engages in sexual abuse reported to: Relevant licensing bodies? | yes |
| 115.77 (b) | Corrective action for contractors and volunteers | |
| | In the case of any other violation of agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies by a contractor or volunteer, does the facility take appropriate remedial measures, and consider whether to prohibit further contact with inmates? | yes |
| 115.78 (a) | Disciplinary sanctions for inmates | |
| | Following an administrative finding that an inmate engaged in inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse, or following a criminal finding of guilt for inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse, are inmates subject to disciplinary sanctions pursuant to a formal disciplinary process? | yes |
| 115.78 (b) | Disciplinary sanctions for inmates | |
| | Are sanctions commensurate with the nature and circumstances of the abuse committed, the inmate's disciplinary history, and the sanctions imposed for comparable offenses by other inmates with similar histories? | yes |
| 115.78 (c) | Disciplinary sanctions for inmates | |
| | When determining what types of sanction, if any, should be imposed, does the disciplinary process consider whether an inmate's mental disabilities or mental illness contributed to his or her behavior? | yes |
| 115.78 (d) | Disciplinary sanctions for inmates | |
| | If the facility offers therapy, counseling, or other interventions designed to address and correct underlying reasons or motivations for the abuse, does the facility consider whether to require the offending inmate to participate in such interventions as a condition of access to programming and other benefits? | no |
| 115.78 (e) | Disciplinary sanctions for inmates | |
| | Does the agency discipline an inmate for sexual contact with staff only upon a finding that the staff member did not consent to such contact? | yes |

| 115.78 (f) | Disciplinary sanctions for inmates | |
|------------|---|-----|
| | For the purpose of disciplinary action does a report of sexual abuse made in good faith based upon a reasonable belief that the alleged conduct occurred NOT constitute falsely reporting an incident or lying, even if an investigation does not establish evidence sufficient to substantiate the allegation? | yes |
| 115.78 (g) | Disciplinary sanctions for inmates | |
| | If the agency prohibits all sexual activity between inmates, does the agency always refrain from considering non-coercive sexual activity between inmates to be sexual abuse? (N/A if the agency does not prohibit all sexual activity between inmates.) | yes |
| 115.81 (a) | Medical and mental health screenings; history of sexual abuse | |
| | If the screening pursuant to § 115.41 indicates that a prison inmate has experienced prior sexual victimization, whether it occurred in an institutional setting or in the community, do staff ensure that the inmate is offered a follow-up meeting with a medical or mental health practitioner within 14 days of the intake screening? (N/A if the facility is not a prison). | yes |
| 115.81 (b) | Medical and mental health screenings; history of sexual abuse | |
| | If the screening pursuant to § 115.41 indicates that a prison inmate has previously perpetrated sexual abuse, whether it occurred in an institutional setting or in the community, do staff ensure that the inmate is offered a follow-up meeting with a mental health practitioner within 14 days of the intake screening? (N/A if the facility is not a prison.) | na |
| 115.81 (c) | Medical and mental health screenings; history of sexual abuse | |
| | If the screening pursuant to § 115.41 indicates that a jail inmate has experienced prior sexual victimization, whether it occurred in an institutional setting or in the community, do staff ensure that the inmate is offered a follow-up meeting with a medical or mental health practitioner within 14 days of the intake screening? (N/A if the facility is not a jail). | yes |
| 115.81 (d) | Medical and mental health screenings; history of sexual abuse | |
| | Is any information related to sexual victimization or abusiveness that occurred in an institutional setting strictly limited to medical and mental health practitioners and other staff as necessary to inform treatment plans and security management decisions, including housing, bed, work, education, and program assignments, or as otherwise required by Federal, State, or local law? | yes |
| 115.81 (e) | Medical and mental health screenings; history of sexual abuse | |
| | Do medical and mental health practitioners obtain informed consent from inmates before reporting information about prior sexual victimization that did not occur in an institutional setting, unless the inmate is under the age of 18? | yes |
| 115.82 (a) | Access to emergency medical and mental health services | |
| | Do inmate victims of sexual abuse receive timely, unimpeded access to emergency medical treatment and crisis intervention services, the nature and scope of which are determined by medical and mental health practitioners according to their professional judgment? | yes |
| 115.82 (b) | Access to emergency medical and mental health services | |
| | If no qualified medical or mental health practitioners are on duty at the time a report of recent sexual abuse is made, do security staff first responders take preliminary steps to protect the victim pursuant to § 115.62? | yes |
| | Do security staff first responders immediately notify the appropriate medical and mental health practitioners? | yes |

| 115.82 (c) | Access to emergency medical and mental health services | |
|------------|---|-----|
| | Are inmate victims of sexual abuse offered timely information about and timely access to emergency contraception and sexually transmitted infections prophylaxis, in accordance with professionally accepted standards of care, where medically appropriate? | yes |
| 115.82 (d) | Access to emergency medical and mental health services | |
| | Are treatment services provided to the victim without financial cost and regardless of whether the victim names the abuser or cooperates with any investigation arising out of the incident? | yes |
| 115.83 (a) | Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers | |
| | Does the facility offer medical and mental health evaluation and, as appropriate, treatment to all inmates who have been victimized by sexual abuse in any prison, jail, lockup, or juvenile facility? | yes |
| 115.83 (b) | Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers | |
| | Does the evaluation and treatment of such victims include, as appropriate, follow-up services, treatment plans, and, when necessary, referrals for continued care following their transfer to, or placement in, other facilities, or their release from custody? | yes |
| 115.83 (c) | Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers | |
| | Does the facility provide such victims with medical and mental health services consistent with the community level of care? | yes |
| 115.83 (d) | Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers | |
| | Are inmate victims of sexually abusive vaginal penetration while incarcerated offered pregnancy tests? (N/A if "all male" facility. Note: in "all male" facilities there may be inmates who identify as transgender men who may have female genitalia. Auditors should be sure to know whether such individuals may be in the population and whether this provision may apply in specific circumstances.) | yes |
| 115.83 (e) | Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers | |
| | If pregnancy results from the conduct described in paragraph § 115.83(d), do such victims receive timely and comprehensive information about and timely access to all lawful pregnancy-related medical services? (N/A if "all male" facility. Note: in "all male" facilities there may be inmates who identify as transgender men who may have female genitalia. Auditors should be sure to know whether such individuals may be in the population and whether this provision may apply in specific circumstances.) | yes |
| 115.83 (f) | Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers | |
| | Are inmate victims of sexual abuse while incarcerated offered tests for sexually transmitted infections as medically appropriate? | yes |
| 115.83 (g) | Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers | |
| | Are treatment services provided to the victim without financial cost and regardless of whether the victim names the abuser or cooperates with any investigation arising out of the incident? | yes |
| 115.83 (h) | Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers | |
| | If the facility is a prison, does it attempt to conduct a mental health evaluation of all known inmate-on-inmate abusers within 60 days of learning of such abuse history and offer treatment when deemed appropriate by mental health practitioners? (NA if the facility is a jail.) | na |
| 115.86 (a) | Sexual abuse incident reviews | |
| | Does the facility conduct a sexual abuse incident review at the conclusion of every sexual abuse investigation, including where the allegation has not been substantiated, unless the allegation has been determined to be unfounded? | yes |

| 115.86 (b) | Sexual abuse incident reviews | |
|------------|---|-----|
| | Does such review ordinarily occur within 30 days of the conclusion of the investigation? | yes |
| 115.86 (c) | Sexual abuse incident reviews | |
| | Does the review team include upper-level management officials, with input from line supervisors, investigators, and medical or mental health practitioners? | yes |
| 115.86 (d) | Sexual abuse incident reviews | |
| | Does the review team: Consider whether the allegation or investigation indicates a need to change policy or practice to better prevent, detect, or respond to sexual abuse? | yes |
| | Does the review team: Consider whether the incident or allegation was motivated by race; ethnicity; gender identity; lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, or intersex identification, status, or perceived status; gang affiliation; or other group dynamics at the facility? | yes |
| | Does the review team: Examine the area in the facility where the incident allegedly occurred to assess whether physical barriers in the area may enable abuse? | yes |
| | Does the review team: Assess the adequacy of staffing levels in that area during different shifts? | yes |
| | Does the review team: Assess whether monitoring technology should be deployed or augmented to supplement supervision by staff? | yes |
| | Does the review team: Prepare a report of its findings, including but not necessarily limited to determinations made pursuant to §§ 115.86(d)(1)-(d)(5), and any recommendations for improvement and submit such report to the facility head and PREA compliance manager? | yes |
| 115.86 (e) | Sexual abuse incident reviews | |
| | Does the facility implement the recommendations for improvement, or document its reasons for not doing so? | yes |
| 115.87 (a) | Data collection | |
| | Does the agency collect accurate, uniform data for every allegation of sexual abuse at facilities under its direct control using a standardized instrument and set of definitions? | yes |
| 115.87 (b) | Data collection | |
| | Does the agency aggregate the incident-based sexual abuse data at least annually? | yes |
| 115.87 (c) | Data collection | |
| | Does the incident-based data include, at a minimum, the data necessary to answer all questions from the most recent version of the Survey of Sexual Violence conducted by the Department of Justice? | yes |
| 115.87 (d) | Data collection | |
| | Does the agency maintain, review, and collect data as needed from all available incident-based documents, including reports, investigation files, and sexual abuse incident reviews? | yes |
| 115.87 (e) | Data collection | |
| | Does the agency also obtain incident-based and aggregated data from every private facility with which it contracts for the confinement of its inmates? (N/A if agency does not contract for the confinement of its inmates.) | na |
| 115.87 (f) | Data collection | |
| | Does the agency, upon request, provide all such data from the previous calendar year to the Department of Justice no later than June 30? (N/A if DOJ has not requested agency data.) | na |

| 115.88 (a) | Data review for corrective action | |
|-------------|--|-----|
| | Does the agency review data collected and aggregated pursuant to § 115.87 in order to assess and improve the effectiveness of its sexual abuse prevention, detection, and response policies, practices, and training, including by: Identifying problem areas? | yes |
| | Does the agency review data collected and aggregated pursuant to § 115.87 in order to assess and improve the effectiveness of its sexual abuse prevention, detection, and response policies, practices, and training, including by: Taking corrective action on an ongoing basis? | yes |
| | Does the agency review data collected and aggregated pursuant to § 115.87 in order to assess and improve the effectiveness of its sexual abuse prevention, detection, and response policies, practices, and training, including by: Preparing an annual report of its findings and corrective actions for each facility, as well as the agency as a whole? | yes |
| 115.88 (b) | Data review for corrective action | |
| | Does the agency's annual report include a comparison of the current year's data and corrective actions with those from prior years and provide an assessment of the agency's progress in addressing sexual abuse? | yes |
| 115.88 (c) | Data review for corrective action | |
| | Is the agency's annual report approved by the agency head and made readily available to the public through its website or, if it does not have one, through other means? | yes |
| 115.88 (d) | Data review for corrective action | |
| | Does the agency indicate the nature of the material redacted where it redacts specific material from the reports when publication would present a clear and specific threat to the safety and security of a facility? | yes |
| 115.89 (a) | Data storage, publication, and destruction | |
| | Does the agency ensure that data collected pursuant to § 115.87 are securely retained? | yes |
| 115.89 (b) | Data storage, publication, and destruction | |
| | Does the agency make all aggregated sexual abuse data, from facilities under its direct control and private facilities with which it contracts, readily available to the public at least annually through its website or, if it does not have one, through other means? | yes |
| 115.89 (c) | Data storage, publication, and destruction | |
| | Does the agency remove all personal identifiers before making aggregated sexual abuse data publicly available? | yes |
| 115.89 (d) | Data storage, publication, and destruction | |
| | Does the agency maintain sexual abuse data collected pursuant to § 115.87 for at least 10 years after the date of the initial collection, unless Federal, State, or local law requires otherwise? | yes |
| 115.401 (a) | Frequency and scope of audits | |
| | During the prior three-year audit period, did the agency ensure that each facility operated by the agency, or by a private organization on behalf of the agency, was audited at least once? (Note: The response here is purely informational. A "no" response does not impact overall compliance with this standard.) | yes |

| 115.401 (b) | Frequency and scope of audits | |
|-------------|---|-----|
| | Is this the first year of the current audit cycle? (Note: a "no" response does not impact overall compliance with this standard.) | no |
| | If this is the second year of the current audit cycle, did the agency ensure that at least one-third of each facility type operated by the agency, or by a private organization on behalf of the agency, was audited during the first year of the current audit cycle? (N/A if this is not the second year of the current audit cycle.) | na |
| | If this is the third year of the current audit cycle, did the agency ensure that at least two-thirds of each facility type operated by the agency, or by a private organization on behalf of the agency, were audited during the first two years of the current audit cycle? (N/A if this is not the third year of the current audit cycle.) | yes |
| 115.401 (h) | Frequency and scope of audits | |
| | Did the auditor have access to, and the ability to observe, all areas of the audited facility? | yes |
| 115.401 (i) | Frequency and scope of audits | |
| | Was the auditor permitted to request and receive copies of any relevant documents (including electronically stored information)? | yes |
| 115.401 (m) | Frequency and scope of audits | |
| | Was the auditor permitted to conduct private interviews with inmates, residents, and detainees? | yes |
| 115.401 (n) | Frequency and scope of audits | |
| | Were inmates permitted to send confidential information or correspondence to the auditor in the same manner as if they were communicating with legal counsel? | yes |
| 115.403 (f) | Audit contents and findings | |
| | The agency has published on its agency website, if it has one, or has otherwise made publicly available, all Final Audit Reports. The review period is for prior audits completed during the past three years PRECEDING THIS AUDIT. The pendency of any agency appeal pursuant to 28 C.F.R. § 115.405 does not excuse noncompliance with this provision. (N/A if there have been no Final Audit Reports issued in the past three years, or, in the case of single facility agencies, there has never been a Final Audit Report issued.) | yes |